DNREC Virtual Public Hearing

For a Title V Permit Renewal for the Delaware City Refining Company
(Docket #2020-P-A-0017)

Welcome. Any visual content that is part of this hearing will appear in this window. You can use your computer audio to listen to this hearing. Use the audio connections menu to turn audio on your computer on or off. Or you can dial-in to the hearing using the following information:

DIAL-in Number: 1-408-418-9388
Event Number: 129 391 4939

No comments will be taken in person during this hearing. Comments will be accepted using the DNREC comment form, via email, or by USPS mail as noted on the hearing event page (de.gov/dnrechearings)
Delaware City Refining Company
Title V Permit Renewal Public Hearing

July 14, 2020

Presented by: Lindsay Rennie

Blue Skies Delaware; Clean Air for Life
Public Hearing

- Public comments are received in writing via email, the website, or mail through July 31, 2020.
- Comments are entered into the formal hearing record.
- The Division of Air Quality (DAQ) will draft a technical response memo to address comments that are pertinent to the air quality considerations related to the permit.
- The Hearing Officer will draft a report and an Order for the Secretary’s consideration.
- DAQ will resubmit a “Proposed” Permit to EPA for consideration.
Preliminary Response to Initial Comments

- The final technical response memo will address pertinent comments submitted during the public comment period, and the public hearing comment period.
- The following summarizes DAQ’s preliminary responses to comments submitted accompanying the public hearing request.
- **Comment:** The draft permit unlawfully gives DNREC discretion to excuse noncompliance during periods of unplanned shutdowns of the FCU, FCCU, or their controls.

- **Response:** The referenced provision follows EPA’s Policy Regarding Excess Emissions During Malfunctions, Startup, and Shutdown guidance.

  - The guidance allows an enforcement discretion approach to excess emissions occurring during startup and shutdown periods. Enforcement discretion allows a regulatory body to determine whether a specific violation by a source warrants enforcement and to determine the nature of the remedy to seek for any such violation.

  - The provision must provide that it is the facility’s responsibility to demonstrate that emissions were unavoidable, the impact of the emissions were minimized, that the unit and monitoring systems were operated with good practice standards, and the appropriate regulatory bodies were notified.
Response: The provision does not do the following:

- Is not a “director’s discretion” provision which would administratively determine that an occurrence of excess emissions is not a violation. Instead, this provision explicitly recognizes excess emissions as noncompliance.
- Does not provide an automatic exemption from the emission limits or preemptively waive future penalties.
- Does not bar the EPA’s ability to seek enforcement action, or the citizens' ability to seek enforcement through the courts.

The facility has not elected to make use of this provision since its inclusion in the permit.
Comment: The draft permit unlawfully relaxes federally enforceable limits during planned startup and shutdown of the FCU and FCCU and when the FCCU’s CO boiler is combusting only refinery fuel gas.

Response:

The Startup and Shutdown (SS) limits are short-term limits that apply in lieu of the normal operating short-term limits. They are not in lieu of the annual limits. Emissions that occur during SS periods must be included in the long-term limit totals. This will be clarified in the proposed permit.

The emission limits are continuous. Federal regulations require that emission limitations be continuous but it does not require that emission limits be the same at all times, nor does it require that emission limits be numerical. For pollutants for which no short-term limit is specified, annual limits serve to ensure there is a continuous emission limit. The presence of SS limits for SIP emission limits provides an additional layer of environmental protection with the annual limits.

The SS limits do not relax federal limits. The SS limits are the same, or lower than federal limits, even if expressed in a different format.
### Response: FCCU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Normal Operation Limits</th>
<th>Startup/ Shutdown Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VOC</strong></td>
<td>0.4 lb/mm^3/scf</td>
<td>9.5 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PM</strong></td>
<td>1 lb PM/ Mlb coke burn</td>
<td>500 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SO2</strong></td>
<td>25 ppm – 365 day avg.</td>
<td>165 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 ppm – 7 day avg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CO</strong></td>
<td>500 ppm</td>
<td>500 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAP</strong></td>
<td>Part 63 UUU</td>
<td>Part 63 UUU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCN: 45 lbs/hr</td>
<td>Maintain O2 conc. in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>exhaust gas at 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOx</strong></td>
<td>108.2 ppm – 7 day avg.</td>
<td>137 ppm – 7 day avg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79.6 ppm – 365 day avg.</td>
<td>100.7 ppm – 365 day avg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>137 ppm/100.7 ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pb</strong></td>
<td>0.000437 lbs per Mlb coke burn-off</td>
<td>Emission Factor based limit, compliance determined by stack test. No stack test data for SS periods.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimal coke burn during SS periods. SS limit to be reevaluated.

This is approximately 50 ppm but reflected as an hourly limit rather than a 7 day limit.

SS provision updated in last Significant Modification.

HCN emitted during full burn, proportional to coke burn-off. HCN compliance based on CO compliance.

Reflects emissions established prior to SNCR installation. NOx Cap also applies at all times for all NOx units.
### Response: FCU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Normal Operation Limits</th>
<th>Startup/ Shutdown Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VOC</strong></td>
<td>0.14 lb/mmstdcf</td>
<td>1.6 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H2SO4</strong></td>
<td>40% reduction &amp; 10 ppm, 67.5 lb/hr</td>
<td>58 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TSP</strong></td>
<td>0.3 lb/mmmbtu, 60.9 lbs/hr</td>
<td>47.1 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PM10</strong></td>
<td>0.3 lb/mmmbtu, 128.4 lb/hr</td>
<td>133.3 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SO2</strong></td>
<td>25 ppm – 365 day avg. 50 ppm – 7 day avg.</td>
<td>95 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CO</strong></td>
<td>500 ppm - hourly 200 ppm - 365 day avg.</td>
<td>415 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH3</strong></td>
<td>2.3 lbs/hr</td>
<td>2 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOx</strong></td>
<td>152 ppm - 7 day avg. 115.2 ppm - 365 day avg.</td>
<td>NOx Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pb</strong></td>
<td>0.000437 lbs per Mlbs coke burn-off</td>
<td>0.12 TPY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAP</strong></td>
<td>Ni: &lt;0.001 lbs/Mlbs coke burn-off Reduce by 98% or to 20 ppm</td>
<td>0.27 TPY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This limit is not a federal limit, but will be reevaluated.

This limit is ~50 ppm, but expressed hourly rather than weekly.

This limit is less than 500 ppm.

Facility-wide NOx Cap applies at all times.

Emission Factor based limit, compliance determined by stack test. No stack test data for SS periods. Annual limit applies.

Minimal coke burn during SS periods. Annual limit applies.
Comment: The draft permit includes an unlawful affirmative defense to liability for exceedances of “technology-based” limits during emergencies and malfunctions.

Response: The provision referenced in the permit is found in 7 DE Admin. Code 1130, Section 6.7.2. It is based on EPA’s 1999 Policy Regarding Excess Emissions During Malfunctions, Startup, and Shutdown guidance. This policy clarifies that States have the discretion to provide an affirmative defense (to excuse a source from penalties if the source can demonstrate that it meets certain objective criteria) from actions for penalties brought for excess emissions that arise during certain malfunction, startup, and shutdown episodes.

The EPA has since concluded that the enforcement structure of the CAA precludes any affirmative defense provisions that would operate to limit a court’s jurisdiction or discretion to determine the appropriate remedy in an enforcement action.

In the same regulation, in Section 6.2 it states in part “… all terms and conditions in a permit issued under [Section] 6.0 of this regulation…are enforceable by the Department, by EPA, and citizens under section 304 of the Act.” This regulation does not seek to limit EPA’s or citizens’ ability to seek enforcement.
Public Hearing Exhibits
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9. Public Comment
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Thank you for joining us. We will accept comments on this matter through July 31, 2020.

You can submit your comments using the DNREC comment form, via email, or by USPS mail, as noted on the hearing event page.

A copy of the Court Reporter’s full, verbatim transcript will be posted on this hearing’s web page as soon as it becomes available.

For more information, find the event page for this hearing on the DNREC Public Hearings page (de.gov/dnrechearings).