

Message

**From:** Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)  
**Sent:** 4/26/2021 8:49:28 PM  
**To:** Pollins, Mark [Pollins.Mark@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Rogers, Rick [rogers.rick@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Re: Mountaire 2 of 2  
**Attachments:** EPA210426.pdf

Attached is another presentation I put together so you both can understand the gravity of the situation here and how it impacts local residents' health. Please read the text carefully. People are literally getting sick and dying. I'm not exaggerating and it's the second time in just a few years we've gone through this. Every day this goes unaddressed is another day people have to suffer unnecessarily.

It also covers yet another legal inconsistency with the map provided during the "fairness" hearing. I will be perfectly honest. This looks like a case of self-dealing to me. That is a federal crime. The FBI website says that's what they deal with. If so, that aspect isn't a matter for the EPA to handle. I've provided other data regarding that same map and the other provided that makes no scientific sense in other emails to you.

If I were the FBI, I would have serious questions regarding the affidavit signed by the creator of that map which can be found in Exhibit D (pages 116-122) of the Joint Motion for Final Approval of the class action suit provided to the court: <https://mountairesettlement.com/pdf/Cuppels-v-Mountaire-Mtn-for-Final-with-ex.pdf>

1. On page 4, item iv, he stated that events with fewer than 28 monitoring locations were excluded from the analysis. What does 28 monitoring locations mean? Why did he choose 28 as the number, since this excluded about one-half of the total cases? What impact did this have on the overall size of the water settlement area?
2. On page 4, item vi, he stated that the calculated flow paths do indeed extend to Cow Bridge Branch. However, he did not draw those paths because "the area of groundwater concern was not extended in that direction." Why wasn't that an area of concern, especially since there is a development right there called Country Meadows with 50 homes all on wells? It did, however, include the chicken farmers along Rt. 30.
3. What do the different densities of particle tracks mean? Does it mean that one area was impacted more than another?
4. Why do particle tracks move in opposite directions on different areas of the map?
5. Why wasn't the entire dumping area on the failing Inland Bays wastewater facility included in the groundwater settlement area?
6. Why is there a sudden gap in the groundwater particle flow right where the trailer park there is? It seems unlikely given Warrick Gut is there, which is far and beyond the steepest slope in the area. I also find the arrow directly in the middle of that empty area to be totally inconsistent with the particle flows.
7. I would request copies of the 4 reports listed.

I would also ask for copies of the evidence I provided to the court and my testimony and ask why they were not reviewed given it shows ongoing dumping in the very same location they are settling over and shows evidence the State is potentially involved, and the active illegal landfill and liquid dumping area that is directly next where were citizens are currently getting sick. I was recommended not to contact the judge, so this was my only opportunity to provide him with the evidence. When I showed my attorneys they responded with, "You can't prove that was Mountaire." Somehow they were able to get them to admit it.

If this alleged crime does get to the FBI, I would like to know if it is legal for my attorneys to pay me on the side to drop my settlement if they were to pretend I was in the air settlement area even though I'm not. I emailed both my attorneys and the judge regarding this and they seemed perfectly fine with it. It sounds like a bribe to me, but I'm not an attorney.

It still does because the amount is to be determined by the court-appointed adjudicator. It may as well be the judge's telling counsel how much to pay us.

ED\_006227\_00000076-00001

Thank you for your assistance,

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

On Fri, Apr 23, 2021 at 5:58 PM Pollins, Mark <[Pollins.Mark@epa.gov](mailto:Pollins.Mark@epa.gov)> wrote:

I'll send you an invite. A good weekend to all.

Mark Pollins, Director  
Water Enforcement Division  
Office of Civil Enforcement  
Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (OECA)  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Phone: (202) 564-4001  
Fax: (202) 564-0018

**From:** Rogers, Rick <[rogers.rick@epa.gov](mailto:rogers.rick@epa.gov)>

**Sent:** Friday, April 23, 2021 5:52 PM

**To:** Pollins, Mark <[Pollins.Mark@epa.gov](mailto:Pollins.Mark@epa.gov)>

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

**Subject:** RE: Mountaire 2 of 2

Thanks, Mark. I'm going through all the information. I have a few time slots open next week. Let me know when you are free.

Rick

Rick Rogers, Chief

Water Branch (3ED30)

Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division

U.S. EPA Region III

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

ED\_006227\_00000076-00002

[rogers.rick@epa.gov](mailto:rogers.rick@epa.gov)

Office: 215.814.5711

Cell: 215.341.4762

**From:** Pollins, Mark <[Pollins.Mark@epa.gov](mailto:Pollins.Mark@epa.gov)>

**Sent:** Friday, April 23, 2021 5:48 PM

**To:** **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**; Rogers, Rick <[rogers.rick@epa.gov](mailto:rogers.rick@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: Mountaire 2 of 2

Got it. Thanks.

Rick, you and I can catch up the beginning of the week.

Mark

Mark Pollins, Director  
Water Enforcement Division  
Office of Civil Enforcement  
Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (OECA)  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Phone: (202) 564-4001  
Fax: (202) 564-0018

**From:** **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

**Sent:** Friday, April 23, 2021 5:09 PM

**To:** Rogers, Rick <[rogers.rick@epa.gov](mailto:rogers.rick@epa.gov)>; Pollins, Mark <[Pollins.Mark@epa.gov](mailto:Pollins.Mark@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Fwd: Mountaire 2 of 2

Mark and Rick,

I attached an updated copy of the Excel spreadsheet I'm using to categorize things.

ED\_006227\_00000076-00003

I spoke to more people in the community who have the same kinds of illnesses. There is a pattern of vertigo, digestive issues, gall/kidney/tonsil stones, skin conditions, and cancer.

This link contains recent photos and videos I took Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (you'll see her name come up again) got a video of one of the trucks leaving the dump by [REDACTED] house.

<https://share.icloud.com/photos/0yT5UALjxmQGqbj8m29t2NDVQ>

What you see in those images are the well heads I could get to, an aerial view of the center of the treatment facility, pictures of the piles of dirt the placed on top, and a video of the large pond they created. I pulled a FOIA request a couple of days ago to get all well readings from the Inland Bays water treatment facility since 2015.

The other photos and video of the channel cut through the dirt pile are taken from the parcel where the satellite images shows the dumping in action. There is a straight up illegal landfill there since that satellite image was taken.

Again, I think it would be helpful if I could step you through the slides so I can provide details and answer questions about each.

In the event you forward this to a team to investigate criminal activity, I have witnesses I believe they should speak to who can provide testimony regarding illegal activity in the "clean up" department, who may have orchestrated the illegal dumping and a person who can corroborate why that person was fired, one who can testify that Mountaire wasn't spraying the fields but was injecting directly into the ground with something called an articulating machine, three who can testify to the unsanitary conditions within the plant, and someone who can testify to the fact that they only clean up when they know an inspection is happening as well as working conditions during COVID outbreaks in which they were "packed in like animals".

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Rogers, Rick** <[rogers.rick@epa.gov](mailto:rogers.rick@epa.gov)>

Date: Fri, Apr 23, 2021 at 11:33 AM

Subject: RE: Mountaire 2 of 2

To: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

ED\_006227\_00000076-00004

Good morning,

Thank you for the additional file. I finally have time to review these today, likely this afternoon. I had  
**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** I'll  
focus on the materials you sent this afternoon.

Sincerely,

Rick

Rick Rogers, Chief

Water Branch (3ED30)

Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division

U.S. EPA Region III

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

[rogers.rick@epa.gov](mailto:rogers.rick@epa.gov)

Office: 215.814.5711

Cell: 215.341.4762

**From:** **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

**Sent:** Monday, April 19, 2021 5:29 PM

**To:** Rogers, Rick <[rogers.rick@epa.gov](mailto:rogers.rick@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: Mountaire 2 of 2

Rick,

I have more. I wanted to get these to you so you could see the scale that I'm talking about. If you are filing any of this away, please attach it to EPA case 1298294.

ED\_006227\_00000076-00005



I met another sick woman nearby where I live who has had three forms of cancer and also suffers from vertigo. She lost her bladder and a kidney and had numerous tumors. She is willing to speak to someone from the EPA if asked.

I also met a woman, who has offered to speak to the FDA, who worked at Mountaire's Millsboro plant for many years (I think she said either 16 or 18 years). She quit because she got COVID-19 and didn't want to get it again. She said the outbreaks there were big. She also said when the FDA would come to inspect everyone would clean up. Other than that it was a mess. I spoke to another person who would also likely be willing to come forward who said every day he would come home "smelling like \*\*\*\*". He only lasted two weeks. If Mountaire has active outbreaks of COVID-19 and a filthy plant, they could be shipping that around the country.

On Wed, Apr 14, 2021 at 3:24 PM **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** wrote:

The attachments in this email include the letter I sent to Secretary Garvin, Attorney General Jennings, and Governor Carney.

I apologize for the dramatic cover and accusatory tone, however, up to this point literally no one in the government from the bottom to the top seemed to care or be able to help. I needed to catch their attention.

I firmly believe what I said about being put at a disadvantage because the State doesn't care to prosecute Mountaire Farms for criminal behavior. Especially since a lot of the illegal activity appears to have taken place on government property. It is bad enough when a corporation pollutes, but that it appears the government was assisting in that is dystopian.

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** and **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** have authorized me to provide their contact information.

**Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

ED\_006227\_00000076-00006

I highly suggest you speak with them as they also witnessed other disturbing behavior and can provide you eyewitness testimony. [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] husband [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] can also give you eyewitness testimony.

Specific testimony I find disturbing is that government agents appeared at the active dump next to [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] home with access to the gate, but only after she called at the same time I sent my email to the state executives. She had already called the EPA once and no one got back to her. I question why they would have had access.

I called the constable about that location. I was told whoever owns it was given written permission to do crush concrete by a man named Lawrence Lank, a previous director of Planning and Zoning. He retired in 2016. I understand this is a State issue and all of this can be parsed out that way, but given the events surrounding that lot I question why he did not simply have them get the necessary special user permit required.

They also can attest to what I was told by both of them separately was "terroristic" use of arms. It wasn't just that they were firing guns. They did it at night and even aimed their target toward the [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] home.

Both can also attest that bombs were going off as well. Both [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] described it as sounding like metal cannisters exploding. They were called and came out, but they told [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] it is something called Tannerite which is legal so there is nothing they can do. Perhaps that's true, but I find it hard to believe it's legal to terrorize people with guns and bombs. I suspect the bombs were used to create the pit into which the effluent material flows.

[Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] gave me permission to share your phone number, but I don't see that correspondence written down anywhere, so I don't feel comfortable sharing it. [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] can provide testimony regarding the airplane flying behind his house spraying foul smelling liquid. [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] can provide the same.

Between us we can provide you with the following witnesses who have either agreed to come forward or could be subpoenaed if necessary:

- 1) A person who managed the "clean up" department at Mountaire who was given the option of resigning or being fired for "costing Mountaire a lot of money" at the same time all of this occurred.
- 2) The person who worked in management at the plant who said that person was given the option of resigning or being fired. There is reason to believe this person may know the reason why.

ED\_006227\_00000076-00007

- 3) A person who worked at the plant who knew illegal behavior was going on so they quit because they didn't want to lose their license. This individual now works in upper management at the Selbyville factory in the same department.
- 4) A person who said they saw something called an articulating machine injecting into the ground rather than spraying one of the fields involved in the NOV.
- 5) A person who said they saw county employees regularly entering the Sussex County parcels where dumping was occurring at the same time as that in the northernmost section of settlement Exhibit A.
- 6) My husband is an international expert in meteorology and was recognized by NOAA as the best in the government (i.e. the world). He will not come forward and say he is right and our attorney's scientists are wrong for scientific reasons. But, if compelled to do so he is perfectly qualified to discuss the scientific aspects regarding the very questionable "science" regarding the air area in the settlement map provided in Exhibit A .
- 7) Any number of people who could tell you they smell the chicken plant, but were excluded from the air settlement area as well as people who can attest to the water making them itch.

I also have email communication between myself and my husband to both class counsel and the judge regarding the payment for dropping our objections. I would be shocked to find out that is legal and would like an answer from someone who isn't them as to whether or not it is.

Finally, if there is any way the Federal government can address the horrible dump shown on the cover as quickly as possible I would sincerely appreciate it as would Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) I am deeply concerned about their health which is why I purchased the Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) complete strangers) a whole-house water treatment system. All three have suffered very serious medical conditions and the site is still in use several times per week. The trench is on the Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) side of the property and I believe it should be dug up as it has been contaminated as well. Unfortunately, there is an enormous landfill that has been placed there which would need to be removed in the process.

ED\_006227\_00000076-00008