

STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY 100 WEST WATER STREET, SUITE 6A DOVER, DELAWARE 19904

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

Telephone: (302) 739 - 9402 Fax No.: (302) 739 - 3106

January 16, 2018

Scott Pruitt, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460

RE:

EPA-HQ-OAR-2017-0355

FRL-9969-75-OAR

Dear Administrator Pruitt:

Delaware's Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control hosted a public meeting on January 8, 2018 to provide an opportunity for Delawareans and citizens of other East Coast states to comment on the US Environmental Protection Agency's proposal to repeal the federal Clean Power Plan (CPP). The DNREC-sponsored listening session began at 10 a.m. at the Chase Center on the Riverfront, 815 Justison Street, Wilmington, DE 19801.

The listening session was well attended by the public with over 100 in attendance. Comments were heard from 31 members of the public as well as from Governor Carney and Senator Carper. We are submitting a transcript of the testimony given by the public. ATTACHMENT 1

EPA should not take action to repeal this important rule without first hearing from our citizens and considering their comments. Delaware stepped up to host this public meeting because EPA is not offering a reasonable venue for citizens' voices to be heard.

Delaware respectfully requests that you enter these into the EPA's hearing docket for this proceeding and give them the same weight and consideration as comments delivered had EPA held this hearing.

Sincerely yours,

Ali Mirzakhalili, P.E.

Director

ATTACHMENT 1 Delaware Department of Natural Resources & Environmental Control



Delaware Public Meeting – January 8, 2018

Testimony of Commenters

Table of Contents

1.	Governor John Carney	P. 9
2.	P. Senator Tom Carper	P. 18
3.	Collin O'Mara - National Wildlife Federation	P. 29
4.	Bruce Ho – NRDC	P. 37
5.	Representative John Kowalko	P. 44
6.	John Sykes - Delaware Interfaith Power & Light	P. 49
7.	Chad Tolman - League of Women Voters	P. 54
8.	Coralie Pryde - Sierra Club	P. 60
9.	Alan Greenglass - Physicians for Social Responsibility	P. 66
10.	Lisa Locke - Delaware Interfaith Power & Light	P. 71
11.	John Mateyko, President Delaware Interfaith Power & Light	P.78
12.	Jennifer Adkins	P. 84
13.	Pastor McDuffy - Eden / Hamilton Park Community Advisory Council	P. 86
14.	Cara Cook - Alliance of Nurses for Healthy Environment	P. 92
15 .	Katie Huffling – Exec. Director, Alliance of Nurses for Healthy Environment	P.98
16.	Kevin Stewart – Director, Environmental Health, American Lung Association	P. 103
17.	Amanda John Kimsey - National Parks Conservation Association	P. 109
18.	Khalil Shahyd – NRDC	P. 113
19.	Kim Letke	P. 120
20.	Pat Todd	P. 123
21.	Christopher Edginton	P. 126
22.	Beth Chajes – Delaware State Coordinator, Citizens' Climate Lobby	P.127
23.	David Stevenson - Caesar Rodney Institute	P. 133
24.	John Bollock - Environmental Defense Fund	P. 139
25.	Rev. Robert P Hall – Delaware Ecumenical Council on Children & Families	P. 145
26.	Brittany Wright – Chesapeake Bay Foundation	P. 149
27.	Laura Michelle Kaplan	P. 153
28.	Debbie Heaton, Sierra Club (Delaware Chapter)	P. 162
29.	Linwood Jackson, NAACP	P. 167
30.	John Irwin	P. 175
31.	Jill Gaumer	P. 179
32.	Ashley Kennedy	P. 181
33.	David Carter	P. 184

In The Matter Of:

Department of Natural Resources & Environmental Control In re: Proposed Repeal of the Federal Clean Power Plan

> Public Meeting January 8, 2018

Wilcox & Fetzer, Ltd. 1330 King Street Wilmington, DE 19801

email: depos@wilfet.com, web: www.wilfet.com phone: 302-655-0477, fax: 302-655-0497



Original File DNREC Clean Power Plan 01-08-18 Public Meeting.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

STATE OF DELAWARE

DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL

RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

IN RE: PUBLIC MEETING ON: PROPOSED REPEAL OF THE : FEDERAL CLEAN POWER PLAN :

Public Meeting taken pursuant to notice at the Chase Center on the Riverfront, 815 Justison Street, Harland & Hollingsworth Room, Wilmington, Delaware, beginning at 10:10 a.m., on Monday, January 8, 2018, before Gloria M. D'Amore, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public.

WILCOX & FETZER
Registered Professional Reporters
1330 King Street - Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com



1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	On behalf of the State of Delaware Delaware Department of Natural
4	Resources & Environmental Control: SECRETARY SHAWN M. GARVIN
5	ALI MIRZAKHALILI, P.E.
6	е
7	2 2 2 12 12
8	
9	
10	* *
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	к в
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	SECRETARY GARVIN: Welcome and
2	thank you for attending today's public
3	hearing on EPA's proposed repeal of the
4	Federal Clean Power Plan Rule.
5	EPA scheduled and held only one
6	public hearing about the significant rule.
7	That hearing was held on November 28th and
8	29th, 2017 in Charleston, West Virginia.
9	They did add three listening sessions, but
LO	they were in California, Missouri and
11	Wyoming, and they will occur after the public
L2	comment period closes.
L3	The State of Delaware is
L4	hosting today's meeting to provide a
15	convenient, local opportunity for public
16	comment on the proposed repeal of the Federal
17	Clean Power Plan Rule.
18	All comments made today will be
19	compiled and submitted to the EPA by DNREC.
20	Before I provide additional
21	details about the meeting, I would like to
22	provide a brief history and timeline.
23	On December 2009, U.S. EPA
24	determined that the science shows that carbon



dioxide threatens the public health and
welfare and is a pollutant that must be
regulated.
U.S. Courts have upheld EPA's
findings and have recognized EPA's authority
to issue national rules limiting carbon
pollution from stationary sources, like power
plants.
The EPA set the first-ever
carbon pollution limits for the nation's
existing power plants in August of 2015.
EPA's rule is called Clean,
Power Plan and was issued under the Clean Air
Act.
EPA adopted this rule after
hundreds of meetings with various
stakeholders; holding numerous listening
sessions and public hearings and considering
over a million written comments.
The CPP directed states to put



together plans to limit carbon pollution from

power plants. It provided flexibility to

within their own boundaries.

states to determine the best way to do this

1	State plans are due
2	September 2018.
3	In good faith, Delaware began
4	working on its plans by holding a series of
5	listening sessions and workshops in 2015 and
6	2016.
7	On October 16, 2017, EPA
8	published a notice in the Federal Register
9	that it would repeal the Clean Power Plan and
0	it is seeking comment on alternative methods.
1	All comments are due by
2	January 16, 2018.
3	Because EPA has refused to
4	provide a reasonable venue for Delawareans
5	and others along the East Coast to voice
6	their opinions on the proposed CPP repeal,
7	DNREC has chosen to conduct a listening
8	session enabling that opportunity.
9	DNREC believes that the future
0	of the CPP is important and that decisions
1	should be not rushed without fully
2	considering public input.
3	So details for today's meeting.
4	Public comments will be accepted today, and



1	we will stay until everyone who wishes to
2	speak has had an opportunity.
3	A court reporter is here to
4	capture each commenter's remarks.
5	DNREC asked for the commenters
6	to pre-register, but it is not required.
7	People who wish to speak that did not
8	pre-register can sign up at the front door.
9	We will call names of the
10	people who pre-registered to step up to the
11	mic first, and then we'll move to those who
12	have signed up to speak today.
13	We ask that commenters take no
14	more than five minutes to make their remarks.
15	A timekeeper will signal each
16	speaker when their time has run out. You'll
17	get a two-minute warning and then red means
18	your time has run out. Speakers are asked to
19	be respectful of the time limits and wrap up
20	quickly when the timekeeper indicates that
21	your time is up.
22	Comments from the Delaware
23	public meeting will be submitted by DNREC to
24	EPA's federal docket in the form of a



1	transcript on behalf of all who speak on the
2	proposed repeal.
3	Speaking at today's event does
4	not prevent you from submitting in any way
5	separate written comments to the EPA.
6	Instructions for commenting can be picked up
7	at the sign-in table up front.
8	If you have prepared comments,
9	if you would like to submit them to help our
LO	court reporter, that would be appreciated.
L1	I want to quickly recognize a
L2	couple of people before I introduce our next
L3	speaker.
L4	First, Angie Jenkins, who is
L5	here representing the Virginia Department of
16	Environmental Quality.
L7	Kate Roher, who is here
18	representing Senator Coon's office.
19	Courtney McGregor, who is
20	representing Representative Blunt Rochester's
21	office.
22	And I would like to recognize
23	Collin O'Mara, former Secretary of DNREC.
24	At this time, I would like to



1	introduce Delaware's Governor John Carney.
2	The Governor has been a leader
3	in the State and nationally supporting air
4	protection, renewable energy, and efforts to
5	mitigate the causes of climate change. He
6	has also focused on adapting and becoming
7	more resilient to the impacts in Delaware who
8	is currently experiencing impacts as the
9	lowest lying state in the nation.
10	During his time in Congress, he
11	stood up to attempts to repeal the Clean
12	Power Plan. And as Governor, he has
13	partnered with other states in the creation
14	of the Climate Alliance to continue the
15	state's commitments outlined in the Clean
16	Power Plan. And he has also been a leader in
17	opposing offshore drilling and other air
18	quality impacts, such as ozone transport.
19	Please welcome Governor John
20	Carney.
21	
22	
23	
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD

Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477

www.wilfet.com

2 Secretary Garvin. And thank you all. I 3 think I was pre-registered to speak. I don't know whether I can get 4 5 through this in five minutes. I don't know 6 about that two-minute or thirty-seconds --SENATOR CARPER: You have the 7 floor. 8 9 GOVERNOR CARNEY: Thank you, 10 Senator Carper. 11 Thank you all for coming out. 12 EPA wouldn't have a listening 13 session with easy access to the people of Delaware, so we brought the listening session 15 here to each of you. 16 I want to thank Secretary 17 Garvin, Ali and their staff at DNREC for 18 doing this, and for all of you for coming and for your advocacy and activity over the 19 20 years. 21 Special recognition to State 22 Representative John Kowalko, who is sitting 23 up front. I don't see other elected officials other than Senator Carper who will 24

GOVERNOR CARNEY: Thank you,





speak briefly afterwards.

2.1

I'm pleased to be here to present Delaware's perspective on EPA's proposal to repeal Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources, commonly known as the Clean Power Plan.

Our state environmental regulators are charged with protecting Delawareans' health and our environment in collaboration with the Federal government.

The proposed repeal will make our efforts here in Delaware to reduce carbon pollution much more difficult and will also remove a strong incentive for states and the Federal government to work together to clean up our air.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{EPA}}$$ is required under the Clean Air Act to take action to regulate greenhouse gases.

EPA has done this in a number of ways, including establishing the Clean Power Plan, which mandates greenhouse gas reductions from electric power generation in every state in the nation.



About a third of the greenhouse gas emissions in the U.S. result from burning fossil fuels to generate electricity for our homes and for our businesses.

The U.S. emits more carbon dioxide per person than other any other nation in the world.

Greenhouse gases are air pollutants that endanger the health of everyone on the planet.

These pollutants are directly responsible for global climate change, which is increasing global temperatures, melting glaciers, increasing sea levels, acidifying our oceans and contributing to billion dollar disasters in the U.S. and around the globe.

Climate change is proven by science, and its negative impacts can be seen and felt everywhere, especially here in Delaware.

Delaware is the lowest-lying state. Sea levels, as measured in Lewes, Delaware have risen more than 13 inches since 1919. This has contributed to an increase of



300 percent in, quote, Nuisance flooding since the 1950's.

В

Without significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, Delaware's tidal water could rise by an additional five feet permanently inundating 17,000 homes and 500 miles of roadway.

The citizens and economy of Delaware are negatively affected by these changes. And if the Clean Power Plan is repealed, we will suffer even more.

Rising average temperatures and prolonged heat waves pose critical health risks to Delaware farmers, outdoor workers, children and the elderly.

Changing climate conditions
will increase local levels of particulate
matter and ground-level ozone, which increase
the risk our residents face of premature
death and chronic heart and lung problems.
And many of these pollutants are transported
from states to the west of us.

These threats will only increase if we don't take a stance as a



1	nation and a global community to reduce the
2	human emissions of greenhouse gases that feed
3	rapid climate change.
4	Delaware has become a national
5	leader in taking that stance and going to
6	great lengths to reduce greenhouse gas
7	emissions.
8	Delaware joined the Regional
9	Greenhouse Gas Initiative, RGGI, in 2005 and
L O	has worked with our regional partners since
L1	then to reduce CO2 emissions from power
L2	plants in the region.
L3	Since RGGI began, emissions
14	across the region have gone down by over
ι5	40 percent. And with the recently announced
16	program improvements, we expect more
1,7	reductions in our power sector as the state
18	continues to invest in clean energy.
19	Delaware created the Division
20	of Energy and Climate responsible for
21	developing policies and programs to reduce
22	greenhouse gas emissions, adapt to climate
23	change, improve energy efficiency and



res	OUT	ces.

Delaware established a

Sustainable Energy Utility, which enables
energy consumers to reduce costs, improve the
environment and ensure energy independence
for future generations.

But Delaware, and a handful of other willing states, cannot and should not be expected to tackle carbon pollution alone.

And I'm here today to state that the rest of the country must follow Delaware's lead and be part of the solution, rather than making the problem worse.

The Clean Power Plan is a very good plan in response to the risk climate change impacts imposed for our country. And also fulfills EPA's legal obligation to regulate emissions of carbon dioxide.

The CPP maintains the longstanding practice of sharing regulatory responsibility between EPA and the states.

CPP builds on experiences of the states who have already begun enacting clean energy policies, again Delaware, chief



1	among them. CPP does not infringe on the
2	jurisdiction of the other states' energy
3	regulators.
4	Delaware's environmental and
5	energy regulatory agencies act independently,
6	yet collaboratively regulate within the
7	respective jurisdictions.
8	Delaware and other states have
9	proven, if not showcased this model through
10	the successful implementation of RGGI.
11	Energy and environmental regulation co-exist
12	and co-exist successfully.
13	Moving ahead with the Clean
14	Power Plan is of particular importance to
15	Delaware, given EPA's failure to directly
16	address emissions from power plants that
17	impact Delaware's air quality.
18	CPP promises to provide
19	additional ozone precursor emission
20	reductions, which would mean significant
21	public health benefits.
22	I am convinced that the nation
23	needs viable environmental action, such as
24	the Clean Power Plan.



1	We must reduce greenhouse gas
2	emissions to address climate change and the
3	perils it poses to all of us here in the
4	United States and around the world.
5	I leave you today with the
6	pledge that Delaware stands ready to
7	implement the Clean Power Plan as originally
8	proposed.
9	And I strongly encourage the
10	EPA to reconsider their proposal to repeal
11	it.
12	And I want to thank everybody
13	in the room for coming. We look forward to
14	your comments and input as well.
15	Thank you very much.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	8 9.
21	
22	
23	
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	SECRETARY GARVIN: Thank you,
2	Governor.
3	I want to introduce our next
4	speaker, Senator Tom Carper, who has served
5	the State of Delaware with distinction as our
6	Congressman, Governor and for the last 17
7	years as our Senator.
8	As the ranking member on the
9	Environment and Public Works Committee,
10	Senator Carper leads the national fight to
11	protect our environment, particularly our air
12	quality and the impacts of climate change.
13	I welcome Senator Carper here
14	this morning to share with us and the EPA his
15	thoughts on the Clean Power Plan.
16	Senator.
17	a'
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



SENATOR CARPER: There's a
young woman standing there next to Collin
O'Mara. Come on up here. I want you to meet
Laura Haynes Gillam. Laura has been my
Environmental Advisor for any number of
years. Give her a nice round of applause.
She has worked hard.
You watch. As I read this
statement, you will see her lips move.
She'll put her hand over my mouth. What the
Senator meant to say was this. She came up
here on the train. She rode the train all
the way up here. And we're glad you can make
it.
Katie Wilson. Where is Katie?
Katie is the person who is our Press

Katie Wilson. Where is Katie?
Katie is the person who is our Press
Secretary. She gets the message all across
Delaware, the Delaware Valley. Katie does a
wonderful job. Katie. Nice round of
applause.

I'll tell you, I've got this statement to give. I'm going to enter it for the record. But I do want to talk to you straight from my heart, straight from my



mearc.
When I was Governor of Delaware
and John was our Secretary of Finance and
part of a great team, we could have shut down
the whole state. We could have shut down the
economy of the whole state. We could have
got every car off the road, had every
business closed, and we would have still been
out of compliance for clean air.
There's a lot of bad stuff that
goes up in the air to the west of us: There

We are at the end of America's tailpipe. Delaware, New Jersey, New York, other states to the north of us. It's not fair. It's not fair.

are big and tall smokestacks that are way up

high in the air so it will get out of their

state and come to our state.

talked about the Golden Rule. Treat other people the way we want to be treated. Good neighbor. And the idea, somehow the people off to the west of us who put whatever they want up in the air and send it to us so they



2.0

2.1

don't have to worry about it. It brings down their energy costs so they can burn their cheap, dirty coal. It reduces their healthcare costs because the health effects from that pollution come all the way here, and we end up breathing it and we are less healthy as a result. It's just not fair.

Well, somebody ought to say something, and somebody is saying something. And we're saying it every day, every day in Washington, D.C., folks that serve with me on the Environmental Public Works Committee, typically on our side and some on the other side.

We have a nominee before us right now whose name is Kathleen Hartnett White. Now I'm just going to give you a flavor for what we're up against.

She used to run energy
environmental pollution activities down in
Texas for Governor Rick Perry. And here's
what she has said. And she has been
nominated for the job that Russ Peterson used
to hold. Russ Peterson, remember he was, as



well as being Governor of Delaware, headed up the Audubon Society, and he was the Chairman on the Counsel on Environmental Quality in the White House for two administrations, two Republican administrations.

And the woman who has been nominated to hold that seat in the future believes that folks who think that climate change is real are communists and pagans.

Communists and pagans. She thinks that the only way to damage yourself, your health from the ozone is by putting your mouth around your tailpipe of your vehicle for eight hours at a time. That's what we're up against. She believes that particulates in the air don't cause health problems. It doesn't cause disease problems. Doesn't cause lung problems. That's what we're up against.

And we're bound and determined to make sure that the job that Russ Peterson, who was one of the great champs of the environment in the history of this country, to make sure that the person who has been nominated by this Administration to take his



former job never sets foot in that door.

Second point. To say it's impossible to have clean air and also have jobs, that's hogwash. We're going to have both.

In the last Administration, which now took office, what, nine-years-ago almost to the day, worked really hard to clean up our air, really hard to reduce emissions of all kinds. And you know what, they launched the longest running economic recovery in the history of this State -- of the United States -- the longest running economic recovery. Don't tell me you can't have jobs and clean air and clean water. We can have both. We have had both. And we can have both again.

Third point. This Clean Power
Plan, is it a lot of nonsense that just got
thrown together? Well, correct me if I'm
wrong, I think in all of the hearings around
the country where EPA held meetings and so
forth, scores of meetings, scores of
meetings, maybe hundreds, I think they got



something like a million comments, a million comments. I might be wrong about that, but they had a ton of comments about what the law should say.

And the idea that this is somehow thrown together, that's baloney. That is baloney.

2.3

I was visited by about six or seven CEOs from utility companies about eight-years-ago. They sat in my office in Washington, and we talked about how we can reduce emissions in coal, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury, CO2. How do we do it?

And we went back and forth for hours. I had legislation that didn't work out. And at the end of the meeting, one of the CEOs, the old guy from somewhere down south, he said, and I'll never forgot what he said, he said, Senator, here's what you should do. You should tell us what the rules are going to be. Give a reasonable amount of time. Give us some flexibility and get out of the way. That's exactly what he said.



Tell us what the rules are going to be, give us a reasonable amount of time, some flex time and get out of the way.

2.4

That's what the Clean Power
Plan does. That is exactly what the Clean
Power Plan does. And it is not going to lead
to the diminishment of jobs, but it will lead
to the creation of jobs.

Last thing. Has anybody ever been Downstate on State Route 1 and passed over -- down past Milford -- you get a little bit past Milford and you come across the road called Prime Hook Road. Prime Hook Road.

And I invite my colleagues who believe that climate change is not real -- I know people have -- the Administration says -- What happened to climate change? You ought to get it back.

Well, be careful what you ask for, Mr. President. Be careful what you ask for. When it comes back, we won't just have the kind of crazy weather we're having here on the East Coast. We won't just have those forest fires out on the West Coast. We won't



just have rainfalls in Silicon Valley and the Bay area last year measured by the foot.

We'll really see some fireworks if we don't do something about this.

You're right. Drive with me down State Route 1. You go by Dover. You go by Milford. There's Prime Hook Road. Take a left turn. And we head east on Prime Hook Road. And we drive past one of the most beautiful national wildlife preservations in the country, Prime Hook.

And when we keep going, we get to the bay, the Delaware Bay. We stop there at waters edge and look to the east. If you look to the east, you can't see it every day, but to the east is New Jersey. You, as you look at the bay, you get out of your car, and you walk up to the end of the bay, you know what used to be under the water there, a parking lot. A parking lot. And now it's under water.

And if we stand there at waters edge looking toward New Jersey, look off around one o'clock, you can see the concrete



1	bunker sticking out a foot or two out of the
2	water.
3	I have a picture from 1947, a
4	photograph that showed that bunker, that
5	bunker 500 feet west of it, west of the bay.
6	Not east. Not out of the water. West of the
7	bay.
в	Something is happening here.
9	And what it is is perfectly clear. Perfectly
10	clear. And we can do something about it.
11	And thanks to all of you folks
12	being here today, we're going to do something
	_
13	about it. God bless you all. Thank you.
	about it. God bless you all. Thank you.
13	about it. God bless you all. Thank you.
13 14	about it. God bless you all. Thank you.
13 14 15	about it. God bless you all. Thank you.
13 14 15 16	
13 14 15 16	
113 114 115 116 117	
113 114 115 116 117 118	
113 114 115 116 117 118 119	
113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220	



1	SECRETARY GARVIN: Thank you,
2	Senator.
3	We're going to transition in a
4	second, and I'll turn it over to Ali to start
5	inviting guests up to speak.
6	I do want to recognize Ali and
7	all of the staff, but particularly Valerie
8	Gray, who has done a tremendous job not only
9	in helping to get this together, but in her
10	work in climate and RGGI and other things.
11	So thank you very much,
12	Valerie, and all of the DNREC folks who have
13	done an amazing job.
14	With that, Ali, the show is
15	yours.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	22
22	
23	
24	



1	MR. MIRZAKHALILI: Thank you
2	very much for being here.
3	Again, my name is Ali.
4	Mirzakhalili. I'm the Director of the
5	Division of Air Quality.
6	I would like to welcome all of
7	our guests and all of our speakers here.
8	We'll go ahead and get started. We'll start.
9	We have a speakers' list that we had
10	published. We're just going to go down the
11	list and read the names. And you can select
12	to come up here to the podium or to that
13	microphone, whichever you are more
14	comfortable with. We're not picky.
15	So with that, I'll just ask
16	Secretary O'Mara to step up here.
17	
18	
19	x = 1.9
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	SECRETARY O'MARA: Good morning.
2	It's wonderful to be with all
3	of you, and it's great to be here and not in
4	the Capitol or at the Department of Interior
5	in D.C. right now.
6	The process that we went
7	through to develop this plan was one of the
8	most comprehensive inclusive processes for a
9	regulation in American history.
10	And I can't be more proud to be
11	at the first of the citizens' hearings in
12	this country on the Clean Power Plan right
13	here in Delaware in the First State.
14	And, frankly, because of
15	Delaware's leadership, there's going to be
16	one in Annapolis in a couple of days; there's
17	going to be one in Philadelphia, and,
18	ultimately, all across the country. Because
19	this is simply shameful that the only public
20	hearing that was held was in West Virginia.
21	But even in West Virginia, there are
22	stereotypes of all economy there. The
23	aggregate for the Clean Power Plan overwhelms
24	the folks that did not want this repeal.



2.4

My friend, Angie Rosser, who runs the West Virginia Rivers Coalition, which is the nationalized federation affiliate there, gave an impassioned plea, along with coal miners and others, saying, Look, we want our health back. We want to make sure that our communities are cleaner and our communities are safer, and we're investing in jobs in the future, not just doubling down on the past.

В

Over the past year, I've had the chance to be in every state in the country. And I'll tell you one thing, that outside of the Beltway, there isn't a debate on climate that you see on TV, that you see in the halls of Congress.

And I've had to console families that have been dealing with the aftermath of massive record megafires in the Northwest. And in California, folks that have seen an intensity of frequency of fires that are three and four times greater than what they anticipated just 15-years-ago.

Folks seeing multiple Category



5 Hurricanes. Folks in Puerto Rico still picking up the pieces. Thirty percent of the folks still don't have power today, which, actually, is disgraceful. The folks in Texas and folks in Florida that are still picking up the pieces from these incredible storms. And Congress finds time for so many other issues, but very little time for the folks that were actually affected and actually need relief from government.

And when you look at the impact across the entire country, you realize that these storms, these more extreme weather events, don't acknowledge political boundaries and don't really acknowledge political parties. They don't really care about whether or not an area voted for the Republicans or the Democrats, but folks are being affected.

And you can have the most conservative Tea Party members that are fishing guides in Idaho that are concerned about warming waters affecting the trout streams. You can talk to folks that are



farming that are concerned about the reification and the increased temperatures that affecting farm yields and affecting soil moisture.

The impacts are everywhere.

And the Clean Power Plan is a way to begin
the process of showing American leadership
and showing American innovation, again, who
is in State leadership, is a way to reduce
emissions.

The reason that the Clean Power Plan is so important in the way that it was designed was that it gives states the ability to figure out the best solutions for their state.

And Delaware's encouraged efforts were so important because we were one of the leaders in RGGI. And one of the things that we asked for, we asked Shawn, when he was in his previous role as Region III Administrator, we just said, Hey, rather than telling us exactly how to do it, tell us the number we need to hit, which gets to Senator Carper's plan about industry-wide



1	uncertainty. Then tell us the number we need
2	to hit, and we'll figure out the best way to
3	get there.
4	In the Northeast, it's going to
5	be through partnerships. It's going to be
6	through collaborations, like RGGI, to have
7	more energy efficiency and making sure there
8	is more for offshore wind and solar and
9	bringing more renewables online.
.0	In other places, it might be
.1	trying to make units more efficient.
.2	Different ways to solve it. But the amount
.3	of input that went into it was actually
.4	staggering.
.5	And, I think, for Delaware, it
.6	had a greater impact than almost any other
.7	state as the lowest-lying state in the
.8	country, and the state that's going to see
L9	impact in sea level rise and extreme weather
20	events.
21	As Dave Carter told me when
22	-they taught the program, told them at DNREC,
23	when they were still there, the impact on our
24	tidal wetlands are massive in this state.



There is no state that will be more impacted.

So, again, we cannot solve the problem. And I think our efforts alone will not solve the problem.

But if the U.S. does not show leadership, we cannot help other countries.

And this is where Delaware's leadership is more important than others. We have done great things. And I'm proud of our renewable energy work. I'm proud of the work in solar.

I'm proud of the work in energy efficiency.

We need to become a leader in

1 0

We need to become a leader in offshore wind. That's the next frontier. The best way to display massive amounts of fossil fuel energy is through the biggest utility source we have there and that's offshore wind. We need to figure out a way to do it regionally with our partners in Maryland, in Virginia and in New Jersey. There's an opportunity with new leadership in these states to do great things and create a ton of jobs.

And there's a great advantage.

And this is, again, what we need to do, that



we're defending the Clean Power Plan and making sure we're raising our voices up in Washington. Because there are economic benefits for those tons of states that decide to leave. There's innovation here in Delaware, at DelTech, at DelState that's incredibly important to get in the market and create product.

There is no bigger champion right now of the Clean Power Plan acting on climate in the U.S. Senate than Senator Carper. He's a ranking member of the Environmental Works Committee. He's leading this charge every day. But we need all of our voices.

We need to be more engaged than ever. Because this is a fight we're going to win. We're going to win the court of public opinion. We're going to win the legal court. We're going to win the politics. We eventually need additional play for our fight in carbon and national solutions. This is a part of that solution.

But together we can do it.



1	Everyone in this room should be proud of what
2	Delaware is doing. I do want to say that I
3	am proud when I go in every other part of the
4	country, we talk Delaware's leadership, but
5	we still have a lot to do.
6	And so, thankfully, at the end
7	of the day, Delaware leads the way, and our
8	country and our world will be in a much
9	better place.
0	Thank you very much for holding
1	this hearing.
12	
L3	
L4	e a
L5	
L6	
L7	
18	
L9	
20	
21	2
22	
23	-
24	

1331
WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www wilfet com

f



as well as climate and clean energy efforts at the state and regional levels, including in Delaware.

I believe that my colleague from NRDC, Khalil Shahyd, will testify later on the significant benefits that the Clean Power Plan would provide to low-income families, benefits that would be taken away if the rule was repealed.

But I'll just focus my
testimony on Delaware's own experience in
tackling emissions, which shows that the
Clean Power Plan is eminently achievable, and
there is no lack of justification for its
repeal.

According to the U.S. National Climate Assessment, which was released by the Trump Administration in November, we are now in the warmest period in the history of modern civilization.

The report concludes, It is extremely likely that human activities, especially emissions of greenhouse gases, are the dominant cause of the observed warming



1	since the mid-20th century.
2	For the warming over the last
3	century, there is no convincing alternative
4	explanation supported by the extent of the
5	observational evidence.
6	And yet, EPA proposes to repeal
7	the Clean Power Plan, one of the most
8	significant steps our country has proposed to
9	slow this dangerous warming.
0	The question is why?
1	It cannot be because of the
2	science. The National Climate Assessment
3	confirms that climate change is real. It is
4	happening. It is caused by humans. And it
5	is already harming Americans.
6	It cannot be because EPA
7	Administrator Pruitt and President Trump are
8	concerned for health and safety.
9	EPA's own Regulatory Impact
0	Analysis concludes that repealing the Clean
1	Power Plan could result in up to 4,500 more
2	premature deaths each year by 2030, due to
3	extra soot and smog pollution from allowing
4	power plants to continue emitting high levels



of sulfur dioxide and oxides of nitrogen, alongside carbon pollution.

The U.S. Supreme Court has held three times that EPA has authority under the Clean Air Act to curb climate-changing pollutants.

So this proposal cannot be justified by arguing that EPA lacks such a mandate.

And on the economics. Repeal of the Clean Power Plan cannot be justified on these grounds either. EPA's original analysis of the Clean Power Plan estimated it would generate \$34 billion to \$54 billion dollars in public health and climate benefits per year in 2030, lower electricity bills and prevent thousands of premature deaths, tens of thousands of asthma attacks and hundreds of thousands of missed school and work days.

Real-world experience confirms that we can cut carbon pollution, grow our economy and create jobs.

Since 2009, Delaware has participated in the Regional Greenhouse Gas

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	Initiative, or RGGI, the nation's first
2	market to cut carbon pollution. Together
3	with Connecticut, Maine, Maryland,
4	Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode
5	Island and Vermont, Delaware has cut carbon
6	pollution from the region's power plants by
7	more than 40 percent.
8	In the process, the states have
9	cut illness-causing soot and smog, saving the
0	region \$5.7 billion dollars in health costs,
1	including by preventing hundreds of premature
2	deaths, more than 8,000 asthma attacks, and
3	more than 39,000 lost work days.
4	They've also achieved other
.5	benefits.
.6	The region's economy has
.7	outpaced the rest of the country, even as
8.	RGGI states cut carbon pollution almost two
9	times faster.
0	Electricity prices are down 6.4
1	percent, even as they've risen, 6.2 percent
2	outside of RGGI.
23	RGGI has saved customers \$773
.4	million dollars on their energy bills thanks



to investments in energy efficiency and other programs and is expected to save customers billions of dollars more.

And RGGI has boosted economic growth at least \$2.9 billion dollars and created more than 30,000 years of full-time employment, including \$170 million dollars and 1,400 employment years in Delaware.

The RGGI states have so successfully cut carbon pollution that they've already achieved their Clean Power Plan targets more than a decade early.

But Delaware and its partners aren't stopping there. They've committed to cut pollution at least 30 percent more by 2030, and expect to spur \$3.95 billion dollars in economic growth, put \$2.11 billion dollars in families' pocketbooks, and create 34,000 employment years by doing so.

Now, other states, including New Jersey and Virginia are looking to join the program. $\begin{tabular}{ll} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{tabular}$

State action is critical. But the RGGI states cannot solve climate change



1	on their own. Carbon pollution from other
2	states continues to cause harm to all.
3	We need leadership from our
4	Federal government to ensure every state does
5	its part to prevent the worst impact of
6	climate change.
7	I urge EPA to withdraw its
8	repeal proposal and to fulfill its duty to
9	protect Americans from dangerous climate
.0	pollution.
.1	Thank you.
.2	
.3	
.4	
.5	
.6	
.7	
8	
.9	a a
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	MS. GRAY: State Representative
2	Kowalko.
3	MR. JOHN KOWALKO: Good
4	morning. I am State Representative John
5	Kowalko, District 25, Newark South.
6	I want to thank the Delaware
7	Department of Natural Resources and
8	Environmental Control for this opportunity to
9	provide testimony.
10	I am here to speak out today in
11	opposition to any attempt to repeal or weaken
12	the Clean Power Plan Act.
13	I want to thank, in particular,
14	Senator Carper for his effort, Governor
15	Carney, who has always been a champion of the
16	environment and Former Secretary O'Mara for
17	mentioning the offshore wind.
18	I spent a week in London at the
19	invitation of The British Embassy and was
20	astounded by how easily they have put
21	together one of the most prominent offshore
22	wind programs that will be providing power
23	for the entire Continent of Europe
24	eventually.
9	WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 www.wilfet.com

1	I know there will be many
2	commenters who will relay the legitimate
3	concerns with the proposed dismantling of the
4	Clean Power Plan by the current
5	Administration in Washington.
6	So I want to bring this to a
7	little bit of a personal level.
В	I worked 11 years at the
9	Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, and I worked
0	25 years at the refinery, so I've seen my
1	colleagues die. I've seen the effect it has
2	had on water supply, pollution and air
3	pollution.
4	I'm a husband. I'm a father.
5	I'm a grandfather. I am responsible for the
6	health and welfare of nearly one million
7	Delaware residents.
8	I also have asbestosis that I
9	first contracted at the age of 18 during my
0	apprenticeship at the Philadelphia Naval
1	Shipyard, a government facility.
2	I regularly have CAT scans, an
3	occasional biopsy, but that is a history that



When the responsible leaders of our country became fully aware of the threat of asbestos, they restricted the use of asbestos. They regulated its removal and its disposal. You only have to turn on your Television set for half an hour a day to witness the endless commercials from lawyers to understand the horrific health effects and the costs of this one product.

. But we managed to address that problem with the strenuous action by responsible elected officials.

When President Nixon created the EPA, it was hopefully to examine and address these threats to our citizens' health and the nation's economy. And we had a tool to wield the needed results.

Dismantling the Clean Power
Plan poses grave risks to the citizens and
community across Delaware and the United
States. Climate deniers and fossil fuel
lobbyists have freedom of speech in this
country. However, they do not have the right
to yell fire in a movie theater. And they do



1	not have the right to endanger my present and
2	our children's futures.
3	Ignoring air pollution won't
4	make our country great for kids with asthma
5	or older Americans who struggle to breathe.
6	Ignoring climate change won't
7	make American families safe from storms
8	powered by oceans that are rising and growing
9	warmer or wildfires fueled by hotter
.0	temperatures.
.1	When it comes to global
.2	warming, President Trump needs to accept the
.3	science, recognize the reality, and put the
4	health and well being of Americans first.
.5	I'm sure all of you have an
.6	idea what a catch-basin is, a stormwater
.7	catch-basin. Well, you should be aware that
.8	Delaware is nature's catch-basin for much of
.9	the foul and polluted air that is produced in
0.0	other states.
1	Delawareans are more at risk
2	and face a bigger threat to the health of our
23	families and children than other states.
4	The Trump Administration's



attack on common sense and common decency by supporting the big corporations' fossil fuel investments is an attack on all Delawareans and all Americans.

В

You need go no further than to consider the insanity of permitting offshore drilling to extract more fossil fuel to be turned into a deadly haze that threatens the very existence of mankind to fully appreciate how far we've regressed.

I've learned to accept my circumstances and predicaments arising from a more ignorant time long passed. But I will not stand by silently and allow such an obvious assault on future well-being of mankind and Delawareans as posed by dismantling of the Clean Power Plan and weakening of the EPA.

Thank you very much.

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 555-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	MS. GRAY: John Skyes.
2	MR. JOHN SYKES: Good morning.
3	Thank you to Secretary Garvin for an
4	opportunity to speak in support of the Clean
5	Power Plan again.
6	I did this several years ago,
7	and I'm here one more time.
8	My name is John Sykes. I'm the
9	founding Director of the Delaware Interfaith
0	Power and Light, which is a collection of 30
1	plus congregations here in Delaware with a
2	goal of providing a religious response to
.3	causes and effects of climate change.
.4	We are part of a national
.5	network across 40 states with over 14,000
.6	congregations.
.7	I'm not only part of IPL, but
.8	I'm also a member of the Governor's Council
.9	of Faith-Based Partnerships. And I'm a proud
0.0	member of the Unitarian Universalist Church
21	of Southern Delaware, which this past year
22	achieved a status of net zero energy usage
23	through energy efficiency and solar. So we
24	know that this can be done.



We believe that global warming is real. We believe it's an existential threat. We believe that it's happening faster than was anticipated. And we believe that we all have a moral imperative to address this issue.

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We believe that a response is necessary commensurate with the level of this threat.

As the Senator might say in previous days, This is an all-hands on-deck situation. So we have to have everybody working together here, the State, the Counties, the towns, cities, businesses. We can come together to do this, but it would be great to have leadership from the Feds again.

And we have done this sort of thing in the past. Working with Secretary O'Mara back four-years-ago, we were able to bring in the Heat Health Warning System, which provided advanced warming for extreme heat situations, which we believe are going to save lives and response money as we go forward. So we can do this.



The SEU working with Delmarva Power and Light were able to bring in community energy centers, four of them, which addressed low energy needs to help folks understand what their opportunities are for helping themselves, as well as getting some help to address energy needs. And SEU working with some of the folks who are deniers were actually able 10 to come up with some additional programs that 11 would help low income folks deal with energy 12 issues as well. 13 So we know we can work across the aisle on this and strongly encourage us 15 to do this. One of the things that we need 16 17 to do, though, is set aggressive goals. 18

This past year, the U.S.

Conference of Mayors set a goal for 2035 of achieving a hundred percent of renewable energy in this country. We can do that.

19

20

21

22

23

And I challenge the Secretary and the Governor to set similar goals here in Delaware. We think we can make it working



together.

В

There are mechanisms to do that. Within Delaware, we've got sustainable planning grants that have been issued for five cities here in Delaware. We need to have that for more cities and have more resources available for those cities to move ahead.

Within the Clean Power Plan, there is the Clean Energy Incentive Program, which would be really terrible if that gets lost because that offers double opportunity and incentives for low-income communities to be able to work on energy efficiency and renewable energy opportunities.

One example of that that we are seeing here in Wilmington is along the Route 9 Corridor. There are some of the community support folks who are putting together a program that will work with six women, female heads of households to make interior storm windows. These interior storm windows will reduce heating loss through windows by 30 percent. They cost about 65 bucks a piece to



- 1	
1	make. And these folks can do that and make
2	some money to support their effort at the
3	same time.
4	So it's a triple win. It's
5	saving energy. It's reducing pollution, and
6	it's bringing jobs into the low-income
7	sector.
В	So we know we can do this. So
9	I challenge the folks in this audience, and I
.0	challenge our leadership here to set
1	aggressive goals and to beat this problem.
2	Thank you.
.3	
.4	
.5	
.6	X
.7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	



_	
1	MS. GRAY: Chad Tolman.
2	MR. CHAD TOLMAN: Good morning.
3	My name is Chad Tolman. I'm
4	speaking today on behalf the League of Women
5	Voters of Delaware.
6	The Delaware League strongly
7	opposes the Federal government's quoted goal
8	of repealing the Clean Power Plan, and
9	recommends that Delaware continues to reduce
0	carbon emissions from its power sector

through RGGI, Regional Greenhouse Gas

Climate Initiative.

Initiative, and from the transportation

We also support strengthening state goals for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from all sources and strengthening the goal for its Renewable Portfolio Standard for the electrical sector.

sector through the 8-State Transportation and

The Clean Power Plan was the cornerstone of the previous Administration's attempt to significantly reduce U.S. carbon dioxide emissions. Carbon dioxide or CO2 is the most powerful of the greenhouse gases and



1	the most important in electricity generation
2	for the U.S. and is the largest source of
3	carbon dioxide emissions. That's not true
4	for all states.
5	And Delaware, along with eight
6	other Northeast and Mid-Atlantic states have
7	decreased their total power plant emissions
8	of carbon dioxide under RGGI by over
9	40 percent since 2009.
10	Their emissions from
11	transportation now exceed those from power
12	plants. President Obama tried to reduce
13	transportation emissions by requiring greater
14	fuel efficiency from the vehicle fleet.
15	However, along with pulling out
16	of the Paris Climate Agreement and
17	threatening the Clean Power Plan, President
18	Trump has promised to eliminate higher fuel
19	efficiencies for automobiles.
20	The Paris Agreement was the
21	first one in history where all of the world's
22	nations, except for the United States, agreed
23	to try to reduce their emissions enough that
24	the global average temperature would not



increase more than two degrees Celsius above what it was in 1750.

2.3

The temperature has already risen by more than one degree and will continue to increase by an unknown amount, even if we were able to completely stop all additional carbon dioxide emissions.

Now, rather than stopping, global emissions are rising every year. Two degrees is the internationally agreed limit beyond which we should not go if we want to avoid doing serious damage to the climate system.

With just a little over one degree, we already have unprecedented droughts, wildfires, rainfall, flooding, and hurricane damage. Some estimates of the damage in 2017 for just the Hurricane Harvey, Irma and Maria are as high as \$245 billion dollars. That amounts to over \$700 dollars for every man, woman and child in the United States.

Revoking the Clean Power Plan will be especially damaging for Delaware. We



are the canary in the mine. We have a very long coastline relative to our area. The average elevation is the lowest of any state in the nation. And the coast is sinking even in the absence of global sea level rise, which has been projected to be as much as 6.6 feet by 2100.

The amount will depend heavily on what happens to the Arctic and Antarctic ice sheets, which are losing ice at accelerating rates. More powerful storms resulting from warming sea water will add higher storm surges to rising seas, with potentially devastating effects on Delaware's coastal communities.

1.1

Hurricane Sandy, which was expected to make landfall in the middle of Delaware, went further north and did great damage to New Jersey and New York.

The storm surge in New York raised the water level at the battery tide gauge in Manhattan by nine feet above the normal high tide. But for the grace of God, we would have taken the full brunt of the



1 storm.

Delaware spends millions of dollars every year to replenish beach sand in the absence of major storms.

According to Senator Carper, our beaches attract tourists who spend nearly \$10 million dollars to add to our economy, and that's greater than our state budget, and employ ten percent of our workforce.

The Delaware Sea Level Advisory

Committee found that there was a 4.9 feet
rise in sea level rise, and the highest one
considered, it would inundate 11 percent of
Delaware's land area, 99 percent of its
coastal wetlands, 73 percent of the Port of
Wilmington, 25 percent of the heavy
industrial land and coastal zone, six percent
of the state's railroads and five percent of
its roads and bridges. And that's for a
bathtub model that doesn't include the effect
of huge waves and storm surge.

Fortunately many nations, regions, states and cities are ignoring the Federal government's ill-advised rejection of



1	the CPP and are going ahead with what is best
2	for their own citizens.
3	Delaware, and the U.S. as a
4	whole, should be among them.
5	The League of Women Voters
6	urges the EPA to let the Clean Power Plan
7	stand as it is written.
8	Thank you.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	a x



MS. GRAY: Coralie Pryde.

MS. CORALIE PRYDE: Good

morning. I'm representing the Delaware

Chapter of the Sierra Club.

The Sierra Club strongly supports implementation of the Clean Power Plan. It's a sensible, carefully researched and designed approach for the U.S. to begin decreasing the level of greenhouse gases emitted by large scale electrical generation.

At the same time, the CPP would reduce pollution of air, ground and water by particulate matter, sulfur dioxide and radioactive omni and toxins, such as mercury and arsenic.

The draft report of the First
National Climate Assessment reenforces
lessons we have seen in the headlines in just
the last year. The number of very intense
iconic storms in 2017 was high as predicted
with warmer water.

The U.S. suffered disproportionally from these storms with massive damage from Harvey, Irma and Maria.



1	And fueled by extreme high temperatures and
2	droughts, fires took a heavy toll in Spain,
3	Portugal and California.
4	This unprecedented number of
5	record breaking weather events came as the
6	world experienced the three hottest years on
7	record between 2014 and 2017.
В	Around five million Americans,
9	which is a record number, registered for
.0	diaster aid in 2017.
.1	The combination of wind, fire
.2	and flooding damage costs the U.S. nearly
.3	\$400 billion in damages last year.
.4	The high number of natural
.5	disasters here and around the world was
.6	fueled by a temperature rise of just over one
.7	degree Celsius.
.8	As temperatures continue to
.9	rise, coastal flooding from heavier rain,
0 :0	lack of crops from drought conditions and
21	fires and loss of marine species from ocean
22	warming and acidification was taking an
23	increasingly painful economic and human toll
24	here and around the world.



The eventual costs will warp the funds that should be invested now to limit global warming.

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Common sense requires that we immediately take all reasonable steps to curb emission of greenhouse gases. Instead, EPA's Administrator Pruitt is trying to dismantle the Clean Power Plan, which would decrease pollution from the single largest source of greenhouse gases in this country.

Making changes required by the CPP will not harm the economy as Delaware and other states in the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative have demonstrated.

Reducing production from coal-fired plants has brought lower prices in significant savings in healthcare costs of those living in the vicinity of plants.

Pruitt's plan to subsidize coal-fired generation will result in electricity costs higher than those from gas-generated electricity and much higher than that generated by wind, onshore wind or utility level solar installation.



1	Perhaps, more important,
2	revised coal mining is bringing very few new
3	jobs. In contrast, jobs in the solar and
4	wind industries are going 12 times as fast as
5	the rest of our economy. It may even be
6	higher than that. That was a year ago for
7	that estimate.
8	President Trump's emphasis on
9	saving coal states really won't help them.
10	It simply delays their entry into the modern
11	economy.
12	Many people in these states
13	understand this very well. Late last
14	November, the EPA held a two-day hearing in
15	Charleston, West Virginia, which is the heart
16	of the coal country.
17	As expected, coal mine owners
18	and executives of mining associations urged
19	the repeal of the CPP. But many more
20	individuals, including health professionals,
21	coal miners and their families and
22	environmentalists testified against repeal.
23	Ordinary citizens described how



the dominance of the coal industry in West

Virginia resulted in generations of poverty and ill health for their families.

A law professor describes studies done by the Public Service.

Commission, the West Virginia DPT and the PJM grid. The studies found that the Clean Power Plan would cause minimal impact on service and prices in the 13 state PJM grid, which includes Delaware.

Mine operations would also not change greatly. Coal burning generators are already being steadily replaced by cheaper more efficient gas units.

So, in short, the Clean Power
Plan did not kill the coal industry.
Repealing the Clean Power Plan will not bring
it back.

Whatever happens with the CPP, the Sierra Club of Delaware urges our leaders to move ahead quickly with reducing greenhouse gas emissions from all sectors.

This can be done through energy efficiency and support of renewable energy, including interconnected offshore wind farms stretching



1	from New England to V	Jirginia.
2	Thank y	you.
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	i.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	14	
24		



MS. GRAY: Alan Greenglass.

MR. ALAN GREENGLASS: Thank
you, Secretary Garvin, Governor Carney and
Senator Carper for hosting this session and
allowing Delawareans to comment on the Clean
Power Plan.

I'm here to speak in support of the plan. Obviously, there has been a lot of passion already demonstrated and a lot of information. So I'm not sure I have a lot to add to what has been said.

But I do think that the Clean

Power Plan will be something that we need to
support. You also need to support the

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, both in
the region, and we have to support the
consumer efforts throughout the country.

I'm here speaking as a board member of Physicians for Social Responsibility. So my take is going to be a little bit on healthcare, and also as a local physician advocate for the American Lung Association.

As someone who has practiced



1	primary care for many years and has led
2	oppulation health initiatives in Delaware, I
3	have a keen interest in how air quality
4	affects the health of the people we care for,
5	the health of our neighbors.
6	Some may think of climate
7	change as a long-term futuristic threat. But
В	our climate and our health is very negatively
9	impacted, the health of Delawareans and the
10	health of other people, people in the
11	country.
12	In Delaware, especially in New
13	Castle County, our air quality is already
14	poorer than it needs to be.
15	In fact, New Castle County
16	ranks second in the Northeast in terms of the
17	number of poor air quality health days.
18	In 2015, the Wilmington/
19	Philadelphia Metropolitan area had 97 high
20	smog days and 212 high soot days. Smog is
21	ground level ozone. Soot is particulate
22	matter. Those are coming from the emissions
23	from power plants.
24	And this is an improvement over



what we had before we had the Clean Power Plan and before we had the Clean Air Act.

Our population, including our children, is already sicker than it should and could be with asthma, chronic lung disease and heart disease due to the irritants in our air.

We have 18,000 children in Delaware with asthma. That's nine percent of the children in Delaware.

Nationally, the incidence of asthma in children and in the population of 65 and older has increased over the last ten years. So in children, it has increased from around eight percent to nine percent. In the older population, it has increased from about seven percent, once again, to about nine percent. This is directly related to the quality of air that we ask folks to breathe.

So we have asthma, we have chronic lung disease, and we have heart disease all impacted by our air quality.



1	healthcare costs and premature deaths.
2	A recent study on the impact of
3	RGGI has shown that improved air quality has
4	improved health throughout the Northeast as
5	demonstrated by Dr. Cristina Archer at the
6	University of Delaware. As our climate
7	becomes warmer, the level and impact of smog
8	and particulates worsens.
9	We have to do more, not less,
.0	just to maintain where we are now. And to
.1	make progress, we need a strong, clean power
.2	plant.
-	L
.3	According to the insert of my
.3	According to the insert of my
.3	According to the insert of my most recent electric bill from Delmarva, coal
.3	According to the insert of my most recent electric bill from Delmarva, coal provided 34 percent of my electricity.
.3	According to the insert of my most recent electric bill from Delmarva, coal provided 34 percent of my electricity. Natural gas, 26 percent. Nuclear, 34
.3	According to the insert of my most recent electric bill from Delmarva, coal provided 34 percent of my electricity. Natural gas, 26 percent. Nuclear, 34 percent. Renewables only six percent. This
.3 .4 .5 .6	According to the insert of my most recent electric bill from Delmarva, coal provided 34 percent of my electricity. Natural gas, 26 percent. Nuclear, 34 percent. Renewables only six percent. This is not a formula for cleaner healthier air.
.3 .4 .5 .6 .7	According to the insert of my most recent electric bill from Delmarva, coal provided 34 percent of my electricity. Natural gas, 26 percent. Nuclear, 34 percent. Renewables only six percent. This is not a formula for cleaner healthier air. The Clean Power Plan is a step
.3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .19	According to the insert of my most recent electric bill from Delmarva, coal provided 34 percent of my electricity. Natural gas, 26 percent. Nuclear, 34 percent. Renewables only six percent. This is not a formula for cleaner healthier air. The Clean Power Plan is a step in the right direction.
.3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .20	According to the insert of my most recent electric bill from Delmarva, coal provided 34 percent of my electricity. Natural gas, 26 percent. Nuclear, 34 percent. Renewables only six percent. This is not a formula for cleaner healthier air. The Clean Power Plan is a step in the right direction. There are many folks speaking



work together. The healthcare folks, the 1 NRDC folks, the EDF folks, the State folks, we're stronger by speaking up together and by encouraging and taking steps ourselves to improve the quality of our air. 6 Thank you. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

> WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 www.wilfet.com

MS. GRAY: Lisa Locke. 2 MS. LISA LOCKE: My name is Lisa Locke. And I serve as the Executive Director of the Delaware Interfaith Power and Light. As John Sykes' nurturing, our mission, which we share with our 39 state affiliates representing 20,000 faith communities, is to serve as a religious response to climate change through promotion of energy conservation, energy efficiency, 10 11 renewable energy and environmental justice 12 strategies. 13 Our goal, ultimately, is to assure the preservation of a healthy, 15 sustainable planet, and closer to home to improve the everyday life, quality of life of 17 our families, our neighbors and our 18 communities. We believe we have a moral 19 responsibility to care for creation, to 21 protect those most vulnerable, to scientifically inform and spiritually deepen our understanding of our relationship with 23 each other and with the natural world. And



given that understanding, we believe climate change is the defining moral issue of our times.

I had recently written that the EPA's decision to repeal the Clean Power Plan is confounding and appalling. But today I submit that it is unconscionable and indefensible.

Rationale for the proposed repeal defies peer-review findings of over 97 percent of climate scientists and supporting positions of 197 leading scientific organizations around the globe. It ignores concerns of national and international health and medical associations. It obscures the true cost of burning of fossil fuels. And it dismisses the opinion of over 70 percent of Americans who support regulations on greenhouse gas emissions, which we know to be the dominant driver of a warming planet. And yet, they/we are not being heard. To whom is the EPA and our administrator listening? To whom are they beholden?

It is the mission of the EPA to



1	protect human health and the environment. It
2	has a legal obligation to regulate carbon
3	emissions, supported by a 2007 Supreme Court
4	decision and a 2009 ruling by the EPA that
5	CO2 is a pollutant under the Clean Air Act.
6	The EPA created the Clean Power
7	Plan with extensive input from citizens
8	around the country, including 4.3 million
9	comments on the draft plan and input from
LO	hundreds of meetings with states, utilities
L1	and communities.
.2	The EPA under the new
.3	Administration now proposes to repeal the
L4	Clean Power Plan, originally offering public
15	hearings only in West Virginia's coal
16	country. And though three additional
L7	hearings are being planned, this effectively
L8	and discriminatively limits the opportunities
19	for public discourse on this critically
20	important issue.
21	We have become increasingly
22	concerned to see that the Administration has
23	been removing references to climate change,
24	most alarmingly from websites of the EPA and



the National Institute of Environmental Health.

We have been somewhat reassured to see that, for the time being, the NASA website still maintains its section on Global Climate Change, Vital Signs of the Planet. And the NOAA website maintains extensive materials on Climate Change Indicators, Human Causes and Societal Impacts.

We witness those impacts as every day the media brings new images of melting ice caps, retreating shorelines, devastating weather events, bleaching of coral reefs, species extinction, uncontrolled spread of disease.

We can see it right here in Delaware. According to an EPA report, higher water levels are already eroding our beaches, submerging low lands, damaging farmland, worsening coastal flooding and increasing salt levels in critical estuaries and aquifers.

Our growing season is being affected, as our migratory patterns of the



1	birds and the waterfowl that we take so much
2	delight in, which attract tourists from
3	around the world.
4	All of this underscores a moral
5	consensus that climate change is grave,
6	growing and an insufferable threat to human
7	life and all creation.
8	We do not believe that God will
9	intervene to save us from our ill-informed
10	and reckless mistakes. We have a long and
11	dismal history of risking our health and
12	spoiling our nests, of desecrating this
13	beautiful, wondrous, miraculous creation that
14	sustains us. And we have paid a high price
15	in human suffering.

Delaware Interfaith Power and Light stands faith-driven and science-based as part of a moral consensus and pledges to be part of the solution.

Practical, efficient,
enhancing, sustaining resources are available
to us here and now. It's up to us to embrace
them, to share them and to call on decision
makers to do the same. And that includes



opposing repeal of the Clean Power Plan.

They don't need to hear us quote statistics on health impacts of more pollution in the air, or the devastating threats from rising temperatures, heat waves, extreme precipitation, flooding, hurricanes, sea level rise, cold waves, winter storms, wildfires, flooding, droughts, and vector-borne diseases. Or how some vulnerable, a term that has been banged from the CDC, communities will be impacted more than others.

The sad irony is that you have all of the information available to you to make the wisest possible decisions to fulfill your mission, and you appear to cherry pick them to suit your agenda.

We cannot afford to live in a state of denial, nor can our policymakers.

We need a reality check for ourselves, and we need to hold our leaders accountable. Then, together, we need to believe that we can pull back from the brink of our own destructiveness. It will require inquiring



1	minds, open hearts, helping hands and a
2	certain leap of faith to deliver this
3	precious and all too fragile cargo to our
4	children's children. They are counting on
5	us.
6	Our sincere thanks to DNREC for
7	holding this.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	4
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



2 MR. JOHN MATEYKO: Thank you, Secretary Garvin, for arranging this. Good morning. I'm John Mateyko. I'm proud to serve as President of the Delaware Interfaith Power and Light. Today, I'm speaking in my professional role as an architect working along with Delaware coastline the last 25 years. 10 11 What I've seen changing in Delaware is extremely alarming to me. 12 13 I've seen this from several 14 perspectives professionally. I've chaired 15 the Delaware Board of Architects. I've been 16 the Director of the American Institute of Architects Chapter in Delaware. I've chaired 17 18 the Sustainability Program. And I currently Chair the National Committee of Architects 19 20 reviewing how the profession of architects, 21 as a whole, will come to grips with the legal

MS. GRAY: John Mateyko.



conditions of climate change.

obligation of licensure to protect the public

health, safety and welfare under the changed

22

23

24

This is a licensure dilemma, which is at odds with this proposed rule change, and I will focus on that.

The medical community will document climate change as a dire threat to human health. But I want to focus on the

architects, planners must play to, as their license mandates, protect the public health

roles of the design professions, engineers,

and safety in stopping climate change by

completely eliminating, quote, Getting to

12 zero.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

The 46 percent of all greenhouse gases that the American Institute of Architects finds generated upstream, downstream and operationally, 46 percent by the built environment.

In Delaware and nationwide, we can now make net zero buildings. We know how to do it, and we must.

Until carbon and other greenhouse gas emissions are quickly slowed and then stopped completely to net zero, the climate change impacts and sea level rise on



Bethany families, storm surge on Lewes
businesses and my house and extreme heat on
Wilmington children, new vectors of
insect-borne disease everywhere and other
awful impacts on our drinking water and food,
all of that will continue to only get much
worse in Delaware and get worse at an
accelerating rate.

Among other impacts, we will see more and more flooding. This is life-threatening. The CPP is our lifeboat to safety.

Since sea level rise and storm surge are already flooding in Lewes, Savannah Road, Pilottown Road, New Road, and in Dewey, Bethany and all along the coast.

As has been mentioned, this
State with the lowest elevation above sea
level rise is particularly at risk, and this
proposed rule change is irresponsible,
negligent and even reckless. It's like
destroying the lifeboat on a sinking ship.

Given these same grave risks nationwide, the American Institute of



1	Architects and its counterpart in other
2	design professions nationwide, have concluded
3	that the zero emissions in building design is
4	imperative, imperative for public health and
5	safety, reflecting the scale and urgency
6	required by the science.
7	The CPP supports this.
В	Professions support this. The faith
9	community supports this. But the Trump
.0	Administration's rule change does not.
.1	Their proposed rule change is
2	like a dark ages rule trying to roll back
.3	knowledge, science, technology and observed
.4	reality of disasters of increasing frequency
.5	and intensity from flooding streets of
.6	Delaware, Boston, Miami, California droughts,
.7	hurricanes and all of the rest.
.8	In the last ten years, it has
.9	cost \$1.5 trillion dollars. This is also an
0.2	attempt to roll back law since the Supreme
21	Court has already ruled that the carbon
22	pollution what the CPP stops under the
23	Clean Air Act is a risk to public health and



safety. That is the law of the land. Normal

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

getting to zero.

1	regulations fellect this.	55 (2)
2	This rule change is	thus
3	lawless, as well as science-less,	to create a
4	word.	

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It would create legal contradictions with regard to protection of the public, health and safety that licensed professionals are highly required to uphold under Delaware law.

Professions cannot both follow the dictates of law and science, as they must, and this make-believe dark ages rule not based on either.

This contradiction renders the proposed rule arbitrary and capricious.

The rule's authors, apparently, inhabit their own Disney-like Fantasyland, which the rest of us have every right, and in some cases, obligation to reject and call out, to be intellectually honest, this is sheer madness what is being proposed. It needs to be said.

To conclude, under a normal Administration, either Republican or



Democratic, today's hearing might well have
been dedicated to the application in Delaware
of the Obama era science-based plan submitted
to the UN under the Paris Climate Agreement
advancing the CPP in even more detail, the
United States Mid-Century Strategy for Deep
Decarbonization.
Our Delaware and other states,
the professions, the faith community, the
American people, we're still in, and we're

As law, science and common sense dictates, we advocate rejecting this rule change.



1	MS. GRAY: Jennifer Adkins.
2	MS. JENNIFER ADKINS: Hi. My
3	name is Jennifer Adkins, and I'm here
4	speaking on behalf of myself. I'll be very
5	brief. I feel lucky to have gone after some
6	very knowledgeable and informative remarks
7	that were before me.
8	But as a concerned citizen and
9	a life-long resident of Delaware, I'm here in
LO	support of the Clean Power Plan. I think
11	repealing it would be a terrible mistake.
12	Again, I'm speaking on behalf
13	of myself and other members of my community
4	and the people I love here in Delaware. For
1.5	all of the reasons that have already been
L6	very well explained by a lot of other
L7	speakers, I think the Clean Power Plan is
18	moving us in the right direction in terms of
L9	what's good for our country, certainly what's
20	good for us, as Delawareans, here in
21	Delaware, both from an environmental
22	perspective, but also from an economic
23	perspective and also from the perspective of
24	public health.

181
WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

- 17	
1	So I just wanted to add my
2	voice to the others that are here today in
3	support of the Clean Power Plan.
4	Thank you.
5	
6	
7	
8	8
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	e
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	MS. GRAY: Pastor McDuffy.
2	PASTOR LOUIS McDUFFY: We wish
3	you greetings in the name of our Lord and
4	Savior, Jesus Christ.
5	I'm Pastor Louis McDuffy. I'm
6	also the Commander of the Disabled Veterans
7	for New Castle County. I'm going to be
8	speaking on behalf of our Veterans. But I'm
9	going to be speaking on behalf of the
10	community as well.

Park Civic Association, in an area of Route 9, which in town is probably one of the most contaminated areas in this State.

Our area, our community was built on a town, which used pure arsenic to clean animal hide. It's part of the 53 towns located in this area.

Now, I'm saying this because we have long, intimate relationship with the EPA. And I'm not concerned even, or I don't believe that even on their best day with full funding -- and I agree with everything that has been said here -- I agree with Governor

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfat.com

1	Carper that they can manage what they're
2	getting ready to have to deal with.
3	I'm a former scientist: I'm a
4	mathematical physicist in chemical
5	engineering. But I'm going back. We started
6	cleaning up areas in 1961. So I'm coming
7	forth with this
8	Now, I want to thank Governor
9	Carper, who is not here now. He gave me an
.0	award a decade ago for finding the cause, or
.1	one of the main causes of cancer coming to
.2	the industries. And I want to thank him for
.3	that because most people won't do that.
.4	I'm a little concerned about
.5	the EPA today. But let me just read this to
16	you for a couple of minutes and then we'll
.7	move along. We'll try to get through this.
18	But I need to get to that first.
L9	Now, Ali, Mr. Secretary, I want
20	to thank him. He has equipment there that's
21	set up in our neighborhood, which is
22	monitoring the air quality. I want to thank
23	him for that.
24	And I want to thank him for the



fact, we've had so many different tests that we've conducted, that our community has conducted, just like that. And when he runs into a problem, we have something there to tell him what that means.

Let me just say this to you and try to get through this.

I'm an advisor to the Veterans groups for soil contamination. They have a number of their bases that are contaminated, including the one I was at. There were six different types of contamination.

Now, if you look up what's called toxic soldier, you'll get an idea of just how many are contaminated and that will give you maybe an inspiration to start helping out there, too. They're really having some problems.

Let me try to get through this. And I'm going to leave the final paperwork for you and I'll send that to you.

Now, I agree with the effort here to deal with the subject that we're dealing with to talk on repealing of Clean



174	
1	Power Plan. I agree with President Obama. I
2	agree with the Governors on what they're
3	trying to do and their efforts.
4	I don't have much belief in the
5	EPA. And I'll read you this really quickly.
6	President Obama and Governor
7	Carper, their plan was to reduce greenhouse
8	emissions from power plants by more than
9	30 percent by 2030, mitigating the impact of
LO	climate change, to improve the benefit of the
11	air quality in Delaware and around the nation
L2	with sound doctrine.
L3	I wrote the article in The News
L4	Journal repeating what Senator Carper's
L5	article was in 2010, hoping it would move and
L6	inspire something like this, a meeting like
L7	this. Because I think we're in real trouble.

I think from closely working with the EPA, anything that jeopardizes this present Administration, I'm not going to say anything real negative, but he did say something. That's the way it has to go in his mind. And I've been doing this for a long time.



In 1991, we studied this with the People of Color Conference, which is all races together and we put together. I didn't bring any of that stuff with me today. But we have a problem here. And it's a problem dealing not just with the Chief, because the Chief has his own way of thinking, but dealing with the EPA. We're going to have a problem.

And I might as well say this, and then I'll sit down because I'm going to send a full report in. I had to help the EPA on a number of occasions because they had lost sight of Delaware City. They lost sight of an explosion in Delaware City, where I went to the president of the university and tried to get help for them. And EPA explained to me that there was no help because they had gone everywhere to try to get help. A lot of people know about this.

I continued to work with the EPA on a number of instances. And then the EPA, some of the community asked, and I'll say this and then I'll sit down, they asked,



1	Well, listen, why haven't you mentioned that
2	African Americans are working on this
3	project. And let the children know,
4	especially in Wilmington where you have so
5	much violence, maybe there's something they
6	should do.
7	And EPA answered and said,
8	Well, we won't have an award or anything for
9	him. And in this letter, which I'll give

2.0

2.2

Well, we won't have an award or anything for him. And in this letter, which I'll give them a copy of, they answered that. But, yes, he did the work. And The News Journal told me that they had increased salaries from this work. And I was shocked. I wasn't trying to get money for it. But if you're going to have increased salaries, Why can't you allow these children, who don't have parents sometimes, to know that African Americans did all of your work for years?

I've got a problem with that.

I think we're going to have a problem with the EPA. But I support everything we're trying to do here.

God bless you.



1	MS. GRAY: Cara Cook.
2	MS. CARA COOK: Good morning.
3	Thank you for the opportunity to provide
4	comments today.
5	My name is Cara Cook. I'm a
6	Registered Nurse and I'm a member of the
7	Alliance of Nurses for Healthy Environments,
в	the only national nursing organization
9	focused solely on how the environment impacts
10	human health.
11	As a public health
12	professional, I'm concerned that the proposed
13	action by the EPA in repealing the Clean
14	Power Plan will result in a weakening or
15	delay of critical protections that will
16	protect people from the harmful impacts of
17	air pollution and climate change.
18	Power plants generate about a
19	third of total greenhouse gas emissions in
20	the United States with the Clean Power Plan
21	outlining standards to reduce those
22	climate-destablizing emissions.
23	As nurses serving on the
24	frontlines of communities and caring for our



1	most vulnerable population, we are already
2	seeing the very real effects of climate
3	change on health.
4	Weakening or repealing measures
5	that limit harmful carbon pollution set the
6	United States on a course where we will see
7	worsening rates and worsening impacts of a
8	changing climate on human health.
9	These include worsening rates
10	of asthma and chronic respiratory conditions,
11	death and illness related to extreme heat and
12	extreme weather events and increased cases of
13	vector-borne diseases, such as Lyme disease.
14	Repeal of the United States
15	only federal limits on carbon pollution from
16	existing power plants not only puts the
17	health of our communities at a greater risk,
18	but it also disregards EPA's legal obligation
19	to regulate harmful pollutants under the
20	Clean Air Act.
21	Decreasing carbon emissions is
22	an essential step to reduce the burden of
23	climate change, but the benefits go far



outside of the impacts on the climate.

With the Clean Power Plan, we would see reductions in harmful sulfur dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions that are released with carbon pollution from power plants.

Exposure to these air

pollutants are linked to damage to the lungs
and aggravation of existing respiratory

conditions, while newer research has linked
nitrogen dioxide exposure to cardiovascular
harm, adverse birth outcomes and premature
death.

Further, these pollutants are precursors to fine particle matter and ozone pollution with elevated levels in the air we breathe potentially causing respiratory and cardiovascular harm as well as premature death.

A cornerstone of healthcare is preventing illness before it occurs, as this is essential to improve health outcomes, save lives and reduce costs, both through reductions in emergency room visits and hospitalizations, as well as an economic



Τ.	COST.
2	Action to reduce air pollution
3	that harms human health and prevents
4	worsening climate change translates to a
5	healthier future and stronger economy.
6	Further, our organization is
7	concerned about the lack of transparent and
8	thorough process and consideration of
9	repealing these regulations.
10	Prior to issuing the proposed
11	Clean Power Plan in 2014, the EPA engaged in
12	extensive outreach to the public to solicit
13	ideas and input on a design of a program to
14	reduce carbon pollution from the power
15	sector.
16	The EPA reviewed over four
17	million comments, conducted numerous outreach
18	efforts and held four, two-day hearings in
19	various areas across the United States.
20	This process stands in stark
21	contrast to the current process.
22	It is essential for there to be
23	ample opportunity for a diverse array of
24	impacted individuals to air their concerns



1	and provide input on such a momentous action,
2	especially in places where Americans are
3	already experiencing the adverse impacts of
4	climate change and deaths and illness caused
5	by power plant pollution.
6	Climate change is one of the
7	most serious public threats we face today,
В	and there is a urgent need to act.
9	To limit harm, the United
10	States must significantly reduce greenhouse
11	gas emissions. Setting standards to reduce
12	carbon emissions as outlined in the Clean
13	Power Plan is both feasible and cost
14	effective.
15	The U.S. and the EPA need to
16	lead action, not reverse efforts to limit
17	carbon emissions. Any attempts to weaken
18	clean air protections will put all Americans
19	at risk, especially the most vulnerable.
20	Therefore, the Alliance of
21	Nurses for Healthy Environments expresses
22	strong opposition to a repeal of the Clean
23	Power Plan and supports the implementation of
24	strong carbon emission standards from power



1	plants that promote a healthy climate and
2	protect public health.
3	
4	
5	
6	* A
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	¥
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	А.
23	
24	



1	MS. GRAY: Katie Huffling.
2	MS. KATIE HUFFLING: Hello.
3	Good morning. Thank you for this opportunity
4	to provide comments here today.
5	My name is Katie Huffling, and
6	I'm a nurse midwife. I'm also the Executive
7	Director of the Alliance of Nurses for
8	Healthy Environments. And I work with nurses
9	around the country, including a number here
10	in Delaware on a variety of vital
11	environmental health issues.
12	Today, we're facing the
13	greatest public health threat of our time,
14	climate change. And unless we take strong
15	action today to quickly and substantially
16	reduce our carbon pollution, this public
17	health crisis is only going to worsen.
18	As a nurse, I feel it is my
19	duty to protect those I serve from the
20	devastating impacts of climate change.
21	I'm just one of legions of
22	nurses around the country who are voicing our
23	support for strong climate action because we
24	are on the frontlines of climate change.



1	We are caring for patients
2	whose lives are deeply impacted by these
3	problems and the numbers will only continue
4	to grow, unless we take strong action now
5	against climate change.
6	As outlined in recent reports
7	by leading health professionals, the Lancet
8	Countdown on Climate Change, the human
9	symptoms of climate change are unequivocal
10	and potentially irreversible.
11	Our delayed response to climate
12	change over the past 25 years has jeopardized
13	human life and livelihoods and we cannot
14	delay any longer.
15	Repealing the Clean Power Plan
16	will have negative consequences on the health
17	of all Delawareans and throughout the country
18	and the world.
19	Imagine having your
20	two-year-old struggle to breathe because he
21	went outside to play on a hot day when the
22	smog levels are high.
23	Imagine you're a parent who is



barely making ends meet, but you have to take

1	an unpaid sick day because your child has to
2	go to the emergency room with a severe asthma
3	attack.
4	Imagine your sister going into
5	preterm labor because of an extreme heat
6	wave.
7	These are all scary real life
8	examples of climate impacts already being
9	felt here in the U.S. today.
10	Unfortunately, carbon pollution
11	especially impacts low-income communities and
12	communities of color as a large proportion of
13	minorities live within 30 miles of coal-fired
14	power plants.
15	African Americans and Latinos
16	also make emergency room visits for
17	asthma-related issues many more times more
18	often than whites.
19	As a nurse and a nurse midwife,
20	I've worked with many inner-city patients and
21	have seen these impacts first-hand.
22	I've also seen how the poor air
23	quality days, which will only increase
24	without climate action, my pregnant asthmatic

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-047
www.wilfet.com

1	patients that come into my office wheezing or
2	reporting an increase use of their inhalers.
3	Having good control of asthma
4	is an essential part of a healthy pregnancy
5	as the mother's breaths are the baby's only
6	source of oxygen.
7	Communities of color and
8	low-income communities are also more
9	vulnerable to other climate impacts, such as
10	heat waves and extreme weather.
11	We've seen in many areas of
12	this country deaths of elderly residents
13	during heat waves because they did not have
14	access to air conditioning or could not
15	afford to run their air conditioners.
16	We have also seen how these
17	communities have had difficulty during
18	extreme weather events, such as the issues of
19	many in New Orleans during Hurricane Katrina,
20	who did not have the means to evacuate nor
21	the funds to rebuild after the storm.
22	Fortunately, there is something
23	we can do about these health disparities.
24	And one of these is to support the Clean



1	Power Plan.
2	We should be strengthening the
3	plan, not replacing it.
4	This public health standard is
5	an excellent first step to addressing the
6	climate impacts of climate change.
7	Nurses around the country are
В	looking to their state officials to lead the
9	way in protecting the health of their
10	citizens from the effects of climate change
11	by pushing back on efforts to replace the
12	Clean Power Plan.
13	We can be leaders in addressing
14	climate change, but we have to start now.
15	Our children and future
16	generations are depending on it.
17	Thank you.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	p

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	MS. GRAY: Kevin Stewart.
2	MR. KEVIN STEWART: Good
3	morning. I thank Governor Carney, Mr.
4	Secretary, Mr. Director.
5	I'm Kevin Stewart. I serve as
6	the Director of Environmental Health for the
7	American Lung Association of the
В	Mid-Atlantic. And I'm representing not only
9	the five million people in our service area,
10	who suffer from chronic lung disease, but
11	also the tons of millions more who desire to
12	breathe clean air.
13	And the American Lung
13 14	And the American Lung Association of the Mid-Atlantic has
14	Association of the Mid-Atlantic has
14 15	Association of the Mid-Atlantic has championed the EPA's efforts to set strong
14 15 16	Association of the Mid-Atlantic has championed the EPA's efforts to set strong carbon pollution standards for both new and
14 15 16	Association of the Mid-Atlantic has championed the EPA's efforts to set strong carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants.
14 15 16 17	Association of the Mid-Atlantic has championed the EPA's efforts to set strong carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants. We have applauded those state
114 115 116 117 118	Association of the Mid-Atlantic has championed the EPA's efforts to set strong carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants. We have applauded those state administrations, like Delaware, who have
114 115 116 117 118 119	Association of the Mid-Atlantic has championed the EPA's efforts to set strong carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants. We have applauded those state administrations, like Delaware, who have worked to make the promise of the Clean Power
114 115 116 117 118 119 220	Association of the Mid-Atlantic has championed the EPA's efforts to set strong carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants. We have applauded those state administrations, like Delaware, who have worked to make the promise of the Clean Power Plan a reality.



1	people.
2	And we are on record as
3	supporting the Clean Power Plan as a
4	tremendous step forward in the United States
5	fight against carbon pollution. And it
6	should be no surprise that we are here today
7	to oppose its repeal.
8	Revoking the Clean Power Plan
9	gives power plants a license to pollute.
10	Repeal allows them to continue to avoid
11	paying for the costs of their pollution.
12	By 2030, to the tune of some
13	90,000 pediatric asthma attacks. 4,500
14	premature deaths. Hundreds of thousands of
15	lost work and school days. And tens of
16	billions of dollars each year. This action
17	puts children and other vulnerable
18	populations in harm's way due to air
19	pollution and climate change, and this is
20	unacceptable.
21	We stand by what we said in the
22	testimony two-years-ago.
23	Carbon pollution poses a
24	current and growing threat to lung health and



1	public safety.
2	The changing climate threatens
3	the health of Americans alive now and in
4	future generations.
5	Climate change is already
6	affecting the health of people in our region.
7	And many communities of color and low income
8	face higher risks from climate change and
9	from air pollution from power plants.
10	Ozone, a serious respiratory
11	irritant that can lead to asthma attacks,
12	hospitalizations, premature deaths, is likely
13	to be worse in much of the Mid-Atlantic as a
14	result of climate change than it would
15	otherwise be.
16	To expand, we expect higher
17	average annual precipitation. The greater
18	likelihood of extreme weather.
19	Delaware's sea levels, as
20	you've heard, are rising.
21	Together these mean that
22	Delaware will experience more flooding with
23	resulting disease.
24	Climate change increases the



risk of drought and wildfire. Even distance events in 2002 and 2016 were clearly observed in Delaware.

Tick population establishment is projected to increase in the Delmarva area and many tick diseases are detrimental to lung health.

Our concerns extend to fine particle pollution, already of much greater concern as a result of conditions conducive to wildfires and dust storms, exacerbated by climate change.

The World Health Organization recognizes that no threshold for health impacts through fine particle pollution has been identified.

And I emphasize that the EPA's own Health Effects Subcommittee fully supports EPA's use of no threshold model to estimate the mortality reductions associated with reduced particulate matter exposure.

Indeed, the case has done nothing but grow stronger year after year.

Harvard's Chan School found



statistically significant increases in mortality at levels below the current max for fine particle pollution, and at levels far below the current ozone max, especially among minority and low-income populations.

And just two-months-ago, the Trump Administration in the Fourth National Climate Assessment found simply, Human activities are now the dominant cause of the observed trends in climate and that there was no convincing alternative explanation.

We must emphasize that the populations potentially at risk from exposure to air pollution are not a few persons in fragile health, but here in the Mid-Atlantic are groups containing hundreds of thousands or even millions of individuals.

For example, just here in The First State, we're talking about 360,000 infants, children and senior citizens. Over 80,000 people with asthma. About 50,000 with lung diseases, such as chronic bronchitis and emphysema. 70,000 with heart disease. 80,000 with diabetes. Many others, including

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	people with existing health problems, those
2	living in poverty, they're also at high risk
3	from air pollution.
4	And taken together, these make
5	up more than half the population. And even
6	more important to remember, every one of them
7	is a real person, not a nameless statistic.
8	Every one of these people are a human being
9	worthy of our attention, a neighbor, a
10	coworker, a friend, a family member. Maybe
11	even yourself.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	× 9

WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-047 www.wilfet.com

1	MS. GRAY: Amanda John Kimsey.
2	MS. AMANDA JOHN KIMSEY: Good
3	morning. Thank you, DNREC, for creating this
4	opportunity to speak today.
5	My name is Amanda John Kimsey,
6	and I'm here on behalf of National Parks
7	Conservation Association, our 1.3 million
8	members, and I serve as the Pennsylvania and
9	Delaware Program Manager.
10	Climate change is one of the
11	greatest threats facing our national parks
12	and people across the country and our planet.
13	Coal plants are a major source of carbon
14	dioxide pollution that drives climate change.
15	Yet, the Trump Administration
16	is taking steps to repeal the very rule
17	intended to cut their damaging pollution.
18	National parks and millions of
19	people across the country have already
20	experienced the pervasive impacts of climate
21	change, including catastrophic weather events
22	that are becoming more frequent.
23	Climate change amplifies
24	extreme and unpredictable weather like



1	stronger storms, longer droughts, hotter
2	temperatures and intense wildfires.
3	Parks like Shenandoah are
4	experiencing warming water temperatures,
5	threatening the very existence of some
6	species like the native brook trout.
7	Sea level rise is rapidly
8	eroding coastlines at Biscayne Bay in Florida
9	and Cape Hatteras in North Carolina. Rocky
10	Mountain and Great Smoky Mountains are
11	experiencing record wildfires in both
12	intensity and scope.
13	The Clean Power Plan sets a
14	common sense, necessary limit on otherwise
15	unlimited carbon pollution from power plants.
16	The plan drives critical
17	reductions in unhealthy haze pollution that
18	threatens visitors' health and obscures views
19	in our parks.
20	Coal plants that drive climate
21	change are the same sources of the pollution
22	that threaten the health of national park
23	visitors, staff and neighboring communities,
24	while also making it harder to see park



1	landscape.
2	The Administration's move to
3	repeal the Clean Power Plan counters existing
4	law and is being carried out in ways that
5	prevent adequate public input.
6	The Clean Air Act requires the
7	EPA to project people across the nation from
8	air pollutants that harm our health and well
9	being, including specifically pollutants that
10	threaten a stable climate.
11	The Clean Power Plan is
12	consistent with the law, earlier court
13	precedents and other EPA standards that
14	protect Americans from dangerous pollution.
15	The U.S. Supreme Court has
16	ruled on three separate occasions that EPA
17	has the legal responsibility under the Clean
18	Air Act and other laws to protect our
19	families and communities from harmful
20	greenhouse gas pollution from power plants
21	and other sources.
22	A 2017 Yale University study
23	found that a majority of Americans in all 50
24	States and all 435 Congressional Districts



1	support setting strict carbon dioxide
2	emission limits on coal-fired power plants.
3	Now is time for EPA double down
4	on reducing emissions from coal-fired power
5	plants. The EPA should not roll back the
6	scientifically proven, legally sound and
7	widely supported Clean Power Plan.
8	Thank you.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	2 4
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	e
22	
23	
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0475
www.wilfet.com

1	MS. GRAY: Khalil Shahyd.
2	MR. KHALIL SHAHYD: Good
3	morning, everyone, and thank you.
4	My name is Khalil Shahyd. I'm
5	a Senior Policy Advocate with the Natural
6	Resources Defense Counsel based in our
7	Washington, D.C. office. But I'm also happy
В	to be back in Delaware. I'm actually a
9	graduate of UD right down the road.
10	So, again, thank you, all, the
11	Department of Natural Resources and the
12	people of Delaware, for hosting this forum,
13	this conversation.
14	Today, I am submitting the
15	following comments on behalf of Energy
16	Efficiency For All. We are a national
17	partnership led by Elevate Energy, the
18	National Housing Trust and the International
19	Resources Defense Council to bring awareness
20	to increase energy efficiency in affordable
21	multifamily housing.
22	We work in 12 states with
23	electric and gas utilities and their
24	regulators to design innovative energy



1	efficiency programs for low-income housing.
2	We collaborate with utility owners, managers,
3	businesses and advocates in order to achieve
4	energy savings in multifamily properties.
5	Two-years-ago, EEFA, Energy
6	Efficiency for All, organized with many
7	partners in the affordable housing community
8	to work with the U.S. Environmental
9	Protection Agency to ensure that the Clean
10	Power Plan met the needs presented by the
11	nation's low-income families living in
12	affordable housing in every state and
13	congressional district across the nation.
14	We supported the EPA in the
15	development of the Clean Energy Incentive
16	Program in order to help ensure that the
17	benefits of the CPP were shared broadly
18	across society and that potential adverse
19	impacts on low-income ratepayers were
20	avoided.
21	EPA staff members understand
22	that there have been historic economic
23	logistical and information barriers to
24	implementing demand-side energy efficiency



1	programs in low-income communities. These
2	barriers have increased the costs and
3	restricted the availability of such programs.
4	As such, low-income households
5	experience an average energy burden of any
6	demographic, 7.2 percent higher, and that is
7	twice as high as the median U.S. energy
8	burden of 3.5 percent.
9	Furthermore, low-income
10	households have an energy burden that is
11	three times higher than that of non-low
12	income households. Three times higher.
13	For these reasons, we strongly
14	oppose any attempts to weaken, replace or
15	repeal the Clean Power Plan.
16	Implementing the Clean Power
17	
	Plan, through the early adoption and
18	incorporation of the Clean Energy Incentive
18 19	
	incorporation of the Clean Energy Incentive
19	incorporation of the Clean Energy Incentive Program, would have delivered numerous
19 20	incorporation of the Clean Energy Incentive Program, would have delivered numerous benefits to the nation's poorest families,
19 20 21	incorporation of the Clean Energy Incentive Program, would have delivered numerous benefits to the nation's poorest families, those struggling with rising energy costs



households have few residential options, but to rely on poor quality housing that results from residential segregation, long-term neighborhood disinvestment and deferred maintenance to the housing stock. These homes tend to be energy inefficient, impacting the financial stability of many families due to high utility bills and recurring illnesses from poor indoor air quality.

Struggling families sometimes spend more than 20 percent of their incomes on electricity and heat, far more than the national average of 2.7 percent. For these people, a cold winter can bring hard choices. Heat or medicine. Utilities or groceries. Or hidden dangers. Space heaters, stovetops and ovens are involved in 79 percent of fatal home heating fires when building heating systems are inadequate. And existing weatherization and low-income bill assistance programs are inadequate to meet the existing needs for services, much less the growing needs in many states.



The Clean Power Plan would provide an incentive to expand such programs and a market to generate the resources necessary to deliver.

Failure to implement the Clean Power Plan would mean that these households can expect electricity bills that are on average \$17 dollars per month higher by 2030 than they are today if we just implemented the Clean Power Plan.

Boosting energy efficiency also means that we lower the costs of constructing expensive energy infrastructure like power plants and transmission lines, reducing American' energy-related utility costs. And further, everyone's health improves when we help stabilize the climate and reduce the amount of hazardous mercury, sulfur dioxide and particulate matter spewing out of power plant smokestacks and furnaces.

Further, reducing energy efficiency is the largest single measure source of potential carbon reductions in the nation. Every year, our Weatherization



1	Assistance Programs alone reduce America's
2	climate pollution by two million metric tons.
3	In total, residential energy
4	efficiency can account for as much as 555
5	million metric tons of CO2 equivalent
6	emissions reductions annually by 2050, or
7	equal to the combined electric power
8	emissions of California, Texas, New York,
9	Florida, Illinois and Virginia in 2016.
10	In addition to the
11	environmental health and cost saving benefits
12	of investing in energy efficiency, there are
13	job and economic development benefits that
14	provide opportunities for families.
15	For every dollar invested in
16	energy efficiency for low-income families,
17	two dollars are put back into the economy
18	through energy savings and increased income
19	from job creation.
20	In fact, energy efficiency
21	already accounts for more than 2.2 million
22	jobs across the nation, which is ten times
23	more than oil and gas and thirty times more
24	than coal mining.



1.5	
1	Because of these and other
2	benefits and energy efficiency for all, we
3	strongly urge EPA not to weaken, repeal or
4	replace the Clean Power Plan.
5	Thank you.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	F = 1
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



some light on the trees.

1	MS. GRAY: Our next speaker is
2	Kim Letke.
3	MS. KIM LETKE: My name is Kim
4	Letke. I'm just a citizen. And I've come to
5	shed some light on the issue of the loss of
6	trees and the CO2 level. Nobody is really
7	managing the loss of the trees.
8	I've called the Zoning Board.
9	I live in Rehoboth. I have a place in
10	Rehoboth. And all along Old Landing Road,
11	all of the trees are gone. All of the
12	developments are taking up all of the trees.
13	But trees really help with the CO2 level.
14	And I think the State of
15	Delaware and every county needs to start
16	managing the Zoning Board and what trees can
17	be taken down and what the wetlands are doing
18	and to replace some of the trees.
19	So I knew there would be a lot
20	of conversation about the Clean Power Plan.
21	I've read all of the Executive Orders. I
22	don't think EPA is following Executive Order
23	3563. But I figured there's a lot of
24	conversation on that, but I wanted to shed

WILCOX & FETZER LTD

Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477

2 States and local government could actually manage replacement of trees. 3 4 In Maryland, if you take one tree down, you 5 put two trees up. In Delaware, nobody is managing 6 the Zoning Board. When I called the Zoning Board to find out who was managing these 9 trees, if they were replacing them anywhere, 10 the answer was no. So I think that the state and 11 12 local government could do more pushback on replanting trees and creating some kind of 13 economic barrier or some CO2 level where we 14 can say, Hey, we've done this. You can't go 15 after a power plant and say, Hey, we're going 16 to cause you all of these problems because 17 18 you're not doing well here. But you're over here not even doing what you're supposed to 19 20 be doing with the trees. You're supposed to 21 be monitoring the air quality. 22 And the air quality at the beach, we have lot of population down there 23 in the summertime, and all of the trees are 24



1	gone because everybody is buying houses at
2	the beach.
3	So you've got a smoke and
4	mirror screen going on over here with your
5	power plant, but you're not doing your job
6	over here. So I just think you should look
7	at where you're putting these trees and start
8	planting these trees.
9	Thank you.
10	* 1
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	4 1
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	MS. GRAY: Pat Todd.
2	MS. PAT TODD: My name is Pat
3	Todd. I'm a resident of Delaware. I'm
4	speaking as an individual.
5	I am opposed to the repeal of
6	the Clean Power Plan by the Environmental
7	Protection Agency.
8	I am not a lawyer so it is
9	difficult for me to respond to legal
10	interpretation as applied to Section 111(d)
11	of the Clean Air Act on which the CPP was
12	based.
13	But I can certainly understand
14	that from the information provided by EPA in
15	the Federal Register, Volume 82, No. 198
16	dealing with costs on Page 9 through 11, that
	acaring with copies on rage 7 through 11, that
17	there are many financial costs to the
17 18	
	there are many financial costs to the
18	there are many financial costs to the federal, state, local governments,
18 19	there are many financial costs to the federal, state, local governments, corporations, businesses, nonprofits and
18 19 20	there are many financial costs to the federal, state, local governments, corporations, businesses, nonprofits and individuals that are not included in this
18 19 20 21	there are many financial costs to the federal, state, local governments, corporations, businesses, nonprofits and individuals that are not included in this decision to repeal the Clean Power Plan.

WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 www.wilfet.com



1	damage from more and worse droughts,
2	wildfires, torrents of rainfall, flooding,
3	sea level rise and hurricane along with
4	health, safety and infrastructure costs and
5	the loss of many lives. These real costs are
6	not included. These costs far outbalance the
7	costs that were included.
8	The purpose of the CPP was to
9	set goals among states to reduce greenhouse
L O	gas emissions by 30 percent. Only by
.1	reducing greenhouse gas emissions are these
L2	problems going to go away. Attempting to
L3	address these problems without goals and
L4	strategies to meet these goals is like
L5	piloting a rudderless ship.
16	Delaware is well on its way to
L7	meet these goals and plans to reach 30
18	percent plus more by 2030.
L9	The Federal Administration
20	should be helping these states which are
21	having difficulty complying.
22	Is the Federal government
23	offering meaningful job programs to those
24	states that need help in meeting the



1	30 percent goal?
2	Is EPA providing research money
3	to find ways for these states to reduce the
4	greenhouse gas emissions?
5	It seems that the costs to the
6	Federal government would be much less with
7	carbon dioxide reduction goals than providing
8	billions to states that have experienced and
9	will, in the future, experience flooding,
10	droughts and wildfires.
11	Thank you.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	a)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	MS. GRAY: Christopher
2	Edginton.
3	MR. CHRISTOPHER EDGINTON:
4	Thank you for the opportunity to speak today,
5	I'm from Milton, Delaware. And I'm speaking
6	as myself.
7	I'm not going to repeat
8	everything that was said because I,
9	basically, agree with it all, and you all
10	don't need to hear it again.
11	So I'm just going to say the
12	obvious thing. I think we all know the
13	reasons why this is happening. I guess I
14	really don't need to say much more, other
15	than we need to continue to work regionally
16	and don't pay attention to the Federal
17	government.
18	Thank you.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	g #
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477

MS. GRAY: Beth Chajes. 2 MS. BETH CHAJES: Good afternoon. And I see we have switched into the afternoon. I am Beth Chajes. And as the agenda notes, I work as the Communications Manager for the Delaware Environmental Institute at the University of Delaware or DENIN for short. In this position, I have been 10 11 privileged over the past eight years to work 12 with many of Delaware's most capable and 13 knowledgeable scientists and brightest graduate students as they strive to understand and keep us informed about the 15 state of our environment both locally here in 16 Delaware and on planet Earth at large. 17 18 Nearly 200 faculty and professional researchers at the University of 19 20 Delaware are affiliated with my Institute, many of them directly are involved in climate 21 22 research. 23 Prior to 2010, I held communications positions in several other



units at the University of Delaware,
9. (*)
including a six-year stint at the College of
of Earth, Ocean and Environment in the early
1990s.

В

This was soon after scientist,

James Hansen at NASA, began seriously
sounding the alarm about climate change. And
I worked hard to bring this information to
the attention of the public.

What I have heard from my scientific colleagues at UD over the years has become increasingly urgent. With very few exceptions, and, in fact, I can only think of one, the science is settled and the scientists are alarmed.

The research questions they are asking are not whether the climate is changing or whether humans are responsible for it because of our greenhouse gas emissions. Their answers to these questions are a resounding yes. Instead, they are now asking how bad it will get and how fast. In their minds, the time for taking action is running out.



The lack of governmental response to these desired scientific findings, despite the best efforts of the scientists and environmental education and communication professionals like myself, is what spurred me to become a more active citizen.

And so I am here to speak
today, not on behalf of the Delaware
Environmental Institute for the University of
Delaware, but in my capacity as the Delaware
State Coordinator of Citizens' Climate Lobby.

Citizens' Climate Lobby is an international, nonpartisan, nonprofit organization dedicated to creating the political will for a livable world for ourselves and for a future generation.

Our 90,000 supporters advocate with laser-like focus for government action on climate change. There are 457 active chapters worldwide with 396 of those in the United States.

I launched the first Delaware chapter of CCL in June of 2016.



Since that time, our statewide leadership has grown from about 25 to nearly 300 concerned citizens.

Citizens' Climate Lobby

recognizes that to limit damage to our climate, our health, to our economy and our quality of life, we must reduce our emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases as quickly as possible.

Our preferred means for doing so is the carbon-pricing mechanism known as carbon fee and dividend. We believe that a market-based solution that holds polluters accountable for the damage they cause to our air, water and climate while providing financial relief to our most vulnerable citizens will pay higher energy-related costs is the most efficient, fair and politically viable proposal for controlling emissions. It would also have the advantage of addressing emissions across all economic sectors in one fell swoop.

However, a proposal such as carbon fee and dividend must be enacted by



Congress, and while Citizens' Climate Lobby
volunteers, as well as representatives of
many other organizations, many represented
here, many of which I also belong to, are
working hard to urge Congress to act and to
elect members who will act, we should not
hold our breath and wait. The urgency is too
great.

In the absence of Congressional
act, the Clean Power Plan is the best tool we
have to reduce carbon emissions. It
addresses the largest single source of
electrical power generation, which is
responsible for about one-third of our

addresses the largest single source of
electrical power generation, which is
responsible for about one-third of our
emissions, but also allows states flexibility
in meeting the target goals. It aims to
reduce emissions most from the dirtiest
source coal.

2.1

Americans overwhelmingly believe that global warming is happening and that carbon emissions should be reduced.

According to the Yale program
on Climate Communication, 69 percent of
American adults support strict CO2 limits on



1	existing coal-fired power plants, including
2	majorities in every congressional district.
3	Here in Delaware, a poll
4	commissioned by DNREC and the Delaware Sea
5	Grant Program found that 76 percent of
6	Delawareans think immediate action should be
7	taken to reduce the impact of climate
8	changes.
9	By acting to repeal the Clean
10	Power Plan, the EPA under Scott Pruitt is
11	neither doing what is best for most
12	Americans, nor carrying out their political
13	will.
14	This is the very antithesis of
15	democracy.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	8 *
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 www.wilfet.com

2	MR. DAVID STEVENSON: Hello.
3	I'm David Stevenson of the Caesar Rodney
4	Institute. I've been a policy analyst for
5	the Caesar Rodney Institute for the last
6	seven years on energy environmental issues.
7	Before that, just so you are
8	aware, I was in the building industry. I
9	designed and built and live in a net zero
10	house. I was one of the cofounders of the
11	Green Building Counsel that is part of the
12	Homeowners Association of Delaware.
13	So why do I support the repeal
14	of the Clean Power Plan?
15	One of the key factors that has
16	always served this country well is the rule
17	of law. And, quite frankly, the Clean Power
18	Plan was passed in an illegal fashion.
19	Now, you've heard a lot of
20	comments about the Supreme Court upholding
21	that there's a danger of carbon dioxide
22	emissions, and that's true.
23	First of all, if you've read
24	the actual repeal, there is no challenge to

MS. GRAY: David Stevenson.



the science of climate. There is no
reference at all. It uses the same
endangerment finding from 2009 as the
original Clean Power Plan. That's not even a
discussion on the table.

But 29 states and hundreds of corporations and individuals sued over the Clean Power Plan. And the Supreme Court in an extremely rare situation didn't wait for the Appeals Court ruling, they put a stay on the plan.

The EPA has authority to regulate individual power plants, any individual emission source in the country, typically power plants.

It does not have the authority to go in and say, We're taking over the electric grid, which is, basically, what happened.

The electric grid, federal government, Congress specifically designates to control the electric grid to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for interstate power and for State Public Utility



1	Commissions for individual state control.
2	And that's what we do.
3	I've intervened in about two
4	dozen PSC dockets. And we routinely look at
5	price. We look at reliability. We look at
6	the environmental issues and do a very good
7	job of that at the state level.
8	What the EPA, basically, said
9	was throw out price, reliability and even
0	other environmental concerns. The only thing
11	we're going to look at for the electric grid
L2	from now on is carbon dioxide emissions.
L3	So I want to see something
L4	that's legal and this plan wasn't.
L5	Just so you know, the goals of
16	the Clean Power Plan were a 28 percent
L7	reduction by 2025. With the latest emission
18	information from the U.S. Information Agency,
19	we've already hit 26 percent. We're going to
20	meet these goals that are set in the Clean
21	Power Plan.
22	There are still power plants
23	being closed. For example, two that DNREC is
24	very concerned about, Brunner Island in York,



Pennsylvania has switched to being a -they've spent \$100 million dollars to become
a flex fuel plant. During the ozone season,
they'll be burning natural gas instead of
coal.

The West Virginia plant has spent a lot of money getting refined coal, which is a cleaner burning type of coal.

So these changes are going to continue. We're going to meet the 2030 goal as well. But what we want to do is make sure that we do this in a legal fashion.

The EPA has already started at the next replacement for the CPP where they will be looking at just individual power plants and how to increase the energy efficiency of that.

So things are not as bad as a lot of folks are saying. Yes, we need to do things about climate change, and we're not arguing about that. But let's do it in a legal fashion. We don't want the EPA, or DNREC, or anybody else running off and doing things in an illegal fashion.



1	So there are a number of things
2	that the EPA is looking at in this
3	regulation.
4	For example, the U.S. Energy
5	Information Agency, the forecasting agency
6	for the Federal government, they're talking
7	about using those forecasts for future energy
8	use, instead of alternative systems.
9	U.S. Office of Management and
10	Budget controls how a cost-benefit analysis
11	is done. They tell you what discount rate
12	you should use. They say you got to compare
13	domestic cost to domestic benefits, not use
14	global benefits, and then use only domestic
15	costs. That's not an honest comparison. We
16	want an honest comparison.
17	I'll read this one because I
18	want the exact words. The EPA should assume
19	no health benefits from air quality
20	improvements below National Ambient Air
21	Quality Standards, which are rigorously
22	established compared to using studies that
23	rely in whole or in part on data information
24	or methods that are not publicly available or



1	that are insufficiently transparent to meet
2	the standard for reproducibility.
3	A lot of the studies that are
4	used by the EPA simply have never been peer
5	reviewed. And the data is hidden.
6	I want to see transparency, and
7	I want to see peer-review data. So with
8	that, I think I've run out of time.
9	Thank you.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	B 01
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	9 n
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD

Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477

www.wilfet.com

MR. JOHN BULLOCK: My name is John Bullock, and I'm a Legal Fellow with the Environmental Defense Fund, a non-profit environmental organization with more than two million members and supporters, including over 6,700 members in Delaware. 8 Today, I'm speaking in support 9 of the Clean Power Plan because it will yield 10 enormous public health and environmental benefits for families and communities in all 11 12 parts of the country, hasten the transition towards a clean energy economy and improve 13 the lives of millions of Americans. 14 Repealing the Clean Power Plan 15 16 would be deeply harmful to the health and well being of communities across the country. 17 EPA has a legal and moral 18 responsibility to protect Americans from air 19 20 pollution that destabilizes our climate and 21 damages our health. 22 But instead of fulfilling its obligation, EPA's proposal to repeal the 23 24 Clean Power Plan, especially without

MS. GRAY: John Bullock.



committing to any meaningful replacement;
would put more Americans in danger.
EPA's proposed repeal of the
Clean Power Plan would harm communities that
are in the shadow of or downwind from
high-polluting power plants, costing

high-polluting power plants, costing thousands of American lives and increasing the risk of asthma attacks, heart attacks, and other harmful health impacts for some of

the most vulnerable Americans.

Just last week, Delaware announced that it was sending four Notice of Intent to Sue letters to EPA because the agency had failed to combat harmful ozone-forming pollution from upwind states in the region.

According to those notices, over 90 percent of the ozone in Delaware originated from uplands states.

Even in its new proposal, the

EPA itself recognizes that repealing the

Clean Power Plan would have devastating

health impacts nationwide, including as many
as 4,500 additional deaths from air pollution



1	each year, as well as 90,000 additional
2	childhood asthma attacks and hundreds of
3	thousands of lost school and work days.
4	We are also deeply concerned
5	that EPA is proposing a harmful repeal of
6	this protection without providing Americans
7	across the country an adequate opportunity to
8	present their views.
9	When EPA proposed the Clean
LO	Power Plan, it held four public hearings in
L1	different regions of the country, in addition
L2	to eleven public listing sessions that
1.3	preceded the proposal. All of these
L4	opportunities to provide the agency with
15	input occurred before the close of the
16	comment period.
17	Before repealing the Clean
18	Power Plan and depriving Americans of its
19	health and climate benefits, the EPA owes the
20	American people at least that level of
21	outreach.
22	To date, EPA has only held a
23	single public hearing and has announced, but
2.4	not yet set dates, for three additional



listening sessions, which may take place after the close of the comment period.

EPA has ignored the requests for additional public hearings that were filed on behalf of millions of Americans who would be directly impacted by the proposed repeal of the Clean Power Plan. These include requests from at least eight states and five cities, as well as dozens of organizations representing people and communities who are on the frontline of the climate change and suffer from harmful power plant pollution on a daily basis.

We urge EPA to respond to these requests by providing at least the same level of opportunity for public hearings and listening sessions that it provided when it was developing the Clean Power Plan.

EPA's recent publication of an advanced notice that solicits information on a possible replacement rule does nothing to change or mitigate the enormous damage that will be caused by this repeal.

The notice merely reinforces



what many have suspected all along. EPA does
not have a strategy for a meaningful
replacement of the Clean Power Plan. And EPA
has continued to leave open the possibility
that it will not replace the Clean Power Plan
at all.

Finally, EPA's sparsely written proposal fails to consider or explain its departure from the enormous factual record that EPA compiled when promulgating the Clean Power Plan.

2.2

And EPA entirely fails to explain how its new interpretation comports with the unique characteristics of carbon pollution that is generated by the power sector.

This Administration has repeatedly shown a disregard for EPA's core mission of protecting the health and welfare of regular Americans, while also repeatedly flouting basic legal requirements governing agency action.

I strongly urge that EPA change course, hold more public hearings on this



matter and reverse its efforts to repeal the $\label{eq:clean_power_plan} \text{Clean Power Plan}.$

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today in support of the Clean Power Plan. We appreciate your leadership in protecting the people of Delaware from harmful pollution. And we ask that you take legal action to protect these vital safeguards in the event that EPA finalizes its repeal of the Clean Power Plan.

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 555-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	MS. GRAY: Reverend Hall.
2	REVEREND ROBERT HALL: Good
3	morning.
4	My name is Bob Hall. I'm
5	United Methodist Clergy.
6	I have the honor to be the
7	Executive Director of the Delaware Ecumenical
8	Council on Children and Families. We are an
9	organization that was started in Delaware in
10	1987 for the specific purpose of involving
11	the faith communities in the work of the
12	Division of Public Health, particularly as it
13	focuses on family health.
14	Our original purpose was on
15	maternal and child health. Lately responding
16	to the change in Delaware's demography, we're
17	focusing on our how do we say this,
18	LaVaida aging population of which LaVaida
19	and I are proud to be representatives.
20	We are concerned about a couple
21	of things. All faith communities, at least
22	every one that I have examined, recognize
23	that there is a moral obligation on the part



of we, who are created, to respect the

1.0

creation. Creationism is not building a phony park in Kentucky. Creationism is respecting what we have, the resources we have and acknowledging that they need to be passed on to others.

We are concerned, though, about the impact that environmental problems have had on human and other natural health. We have worked with our own state's public health and office on aging to look at issues like asthma among small children. We have programs that support young families, and I mean young families. And so many of them have children that are affected by asthma way beyond the national average.

In the older population when we go into homes to help older persons with just basic housekeeping chores, we find these older people oxygen dependent because they have COPD, asthma, or some other disease of the lungs. And they tend to find that this is because of particulate pollution, and, of course, they suffer deeply during the ozone season. And these become concerns to us.



So we are in opposition to the EPA's action because, as Senator Carper said this morning, Delaware does kind of tend to be a dumping ground for the pollutants of the rest of the country.

I know just in my own personal experience, 25-years-ago, I got married. I wanted to take my wife, who is from Nebraska, wherever that is, to show her what a really nice looking part of the country looks like. And I wanted to show her some of the places that I had visited as a child where we went fishing and crabbing and put a boat over. We can't find them. They're under water now. They're down in Worcester County on the bay side, or, excuse me, on the ocean side of the Delmarva Peninsula.

So it's very real what's happening to us through, I think, probably minimal fault of people here in Delaware.

But I think we need to be, as many people in Delaware are, people of faith. And I think we need to understand we have a moral obligation to protect what we have and to



1	join with each other as citizens, public	
2	citizens to respect the work that is being	
3	done by the Secretary and his Department and	
4	to be strong advocates for the protection of	
5	this wonderful creation that we have been	
6	given here in Delaware.	
7	Thank you very much.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	2. *	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	MS. GRAY: Brittany Wright.
2	MS. BRITTANY WRIGHT: Good
3	afternoon. My name is Brittany Wright with
4	the Chesapeake Bay Foundation. And today,
5	I'm here representing over 231,000 members
6	from six watershed states and the District of
7	Columbia, including over 4,700 members in the
8	State of Delaware.
9	Thank you for the opportunity
10	to comment today on the proposed repeal of
11	the Clean Power Plan.
12	The Chesapeake Bay Foundation
13	applauds Delaware's commitment to reducing
14	greenhouse gas emissions, as well as its
15	participation in the Regional Greenhouse Gas
16	Initiative.
17	The Chesapeake Bay is the
18	nation's largest estuary, supporting vibrant
19	commercial fishing and tourism industry. But
20	climate change caused by carbon dioxide
21	emissions threatens the health, culture and
22	way of life in the Chesapeake Bay.
23	Rising levels of carbon dioxide
24	in the atmosphere are causing oceans to warm



1	and acidify. Ocean acidification negatively
2	impacts the growth and development of
3	juvenile oysters, clams, and other creatures
4	with calcium shells.
5	Chesapeake Bay Foundation is
6	committed to oyster restoration and has
7	planted more than 200 million oysters into
8	the Chesapeake Bay.
9	Greenhouse gas emissions have
10	often caused global temperatures to increase,
11	which has led to warming ocean waters.
12	Ocean warming and then melting
13	of sea ice is one of the primary causes of
14	sea level rise, which threatens to inundate
15	communities throughout the bay and in
16	Delaware.
17	The East Coast is projected to
18	be a hot spot of sea level rise and the State
19	of Delaware is proactively planning for one
20	to five feet of sea level rise.
21	But the Clean Power Plan was
22	designed to reduce carbon dioxide emissions,
23	which would help to mitigate ocean warming,
24	ocean acidification and sea level rise.



1	The design of the Clean Power
2	Plan will also help improve water quality in
3	the Chesapeake Bay by transitioning the power
4	sector away from dirty energy towards cleaner
5	sources of fuel.
6	Over 30 percent of the nitrogen
7	deposited into the bay comes from air
В	pollution, including air pollution from
9	coal-fired power plants.
LO	The Clean Power Plan would
11	reduce this nitrogen air pollution by
12	transitioning away from high polluting
L3	sources of energy to cleaner, renewable
L4	sources of energy.
L5	And as stated by many of the
L6	health professionals earlier, this would
L 7	provide beneficial human health impacts by
18	reducing ozone, which causes respiratory
L9	diseases.
20	Finally, the Clean Power Plan
21	rests on strong legal footing.
22	The current administration
23	narrowly reads the Clean Air Act and the Best
24	System of Emissions Reductions requirement to



1	only apply to single individual sources.
2	But this narrow reading
3	completely ignores the EPA's long history of
4	relying on trading, credits and national and
5	regional pollution budgets to achieve
6	emissions reductions.
7	This reading of the Best System
8	of Emissions Reductions requirement would
9	require carbon dioxide reduction measures
10	that are more expensive than co-firing with
11	natural gas or carbon capture and
12	sequestration, or measures that are capable
13	of achieving far less reduction, like heat
14	rate improvements, neither of which meets the
15	Best System of Emissions Reduction
16	requirement under the Clean Air Act.
17	In conclusion, the Chesapeake
18	Bay Foundation supports the Clean Power Plan
19	and Delaware's efforts to reduce greenhouse
20	gas emissions. And it is opposed to the
21	current effort to repeal the critically
22	needed regulations.
23	Thank you.
2.4	

1 b D
WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477
www.wilfet.com

1	MS. GRAY: Laura Michelle
2	Kaplan.
3	MS. LAURA MICHELLE KAPLAN:
4	Good afternoon.
5	Thank you for the opportunity
6	to speak today in opposition to the proposal
7	to repeal of the U.S. Environmental
8	Protection Agency Clean Power Plan.
9	My name is Laura Kaplan. I am
10	an attorney residing in Delaware, and $I^{\dagger}m$
11	licensed to practice law in Pennsylvania and
12	D.C.
13	I studied climate change and
14	environmental law since 2006 in law school
15	and during internships and research down at
16	the Environmental and Natural Resources
17	Clinic at Widener University, as well as in
18	the environmental section of the Delaware
19	Attorney General's Office.
20	Although I am appearing today
21	as an individual, I am a member of the
22	Environmental Advocacy Committee of the
23	Delaware Nature Society and have served in
2.4	the past as a Compliance Officer for the



Delaware Chapter of the Sierra Club and on							
the Zero Waste Working Committee of Delaware.							
As I am very privileged today							
to have the opportunity to speak, I also was							
privileged to speak at the original hearings							
for the Clean Power Plan in Washington, D.C.							
when they were held in 2014.							
There are times in human							
history when we have a moral imperative to							
speak up and to act, and this is one of those							
times. The harmful effects to human health							
and welfare of carbon dioxide and warming of							
the earth are only in their initial stages.							
The threat to the entire planet must be seen							
as severe, or even more dangerous than							
military aggression or terrorism.							
The effects of CO2 emissions,							
i.e., warming of the planet, melting ice							
sheets, sea level rise and warming oceans							
mandate long term and complex mitigation							
efforts. It is only by individuals rising to							



legislation and pointing out the fallacies

the occasion speaking, demanding that

appropriate actions through enforced

1	being propagated, that we can have any hope						
2	of minimizing the increasingly dangerous						
3	damage that is already on its way.						
4	Government has a duty to						
5	protect, conserve and steward public health						
6	and public trust resources using the best						
7	available science.						
8	One of the main roles of						
9	administrative agency regulations is to						
10	oversee the effects of manufacturing						
11	processes, which may be solely profit						
12	motivated on public health and welfare.						
13	Protection from the impacts of						
14	climate change is one of these obligations.						
15	Carbon dioxide emissions are a						
16	primary cause of climate change and it is						
17	critical that they be reduced. This is why						
18	the Clean Power Plan was enacted and why this						
19	repeal proposal should be rejected.						
20	In April of 2017, I attended an						
21	Environmental Law conference in Harrisburg,						
22	PA, presented by the Pennsylvania Bar						
23	Association. When the news that Trump wanted						
24	to abandon the Paris Accord was raised, the						



response was that the CPP was an effective and actually legally binding statute, which would effectively serve to regulate the CO2 emissions so that everything would be okay. Ironically, today that safety net is being attacked by the proposed repeal.

I will direct my comments further today to the legal pitfalls of the proposal to repeal.

The CPP is an existing rule. It has gone through the extensive statutorily required rule-making process. An agency proposing to change a rule must do so in context of the past reasoning, science, factual evidence and conclusions, statutory requirements and compliance with precedence of past court decisions. An agency cannot choose to ignore inconvenient law, or their own prior conclusion.

The Supreme Court reiterated that an agency proposing a policy change must provide a reasoned explanation for disregarding facts and circumstances that underlay the prior policy. If there is an



1	unexplained inconsistency, then the new
2	action must be rejected.
3	The EPA has ignored
4	inconvenient law, their own prior
5	conclusions, and its proposal is based on
6	unexplained inconsistency.
7	The proposal at hand ignores
8	the very reason the CPP was created, to
9	reduce carbon emissions, which are a danger
L 0	to human health and to the entire planetary
11	environment shown by massive amounts of
12	scientific research over decades.
13	The CPP was constructed to
14	comply with meticulous documentation
15	regarding best businesses and state
16	practices.
17	The EPA has ignored both
18	inconvenient law and its own prior
19	conclusions.
20	Its Regulatory Impact Analysis,
21	included with this proposal, was done using
22	faulty base assumptions and the table and
23	proposal seem to be skewed.

WILCOX & FETZER LTD

Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 proposal must be rejected.

The proposal, while ignoring all reasoning behind the purpose of the CPP, claims it does not comply with the Clean Air Act, that the CPP does not comply, which Pruitt says applies only to stationary sources, that the coal companies are unable to reduce their emissions to their required levels, and that the option of changing energy production to clean energy methods is not allowed by the Clean Air Act.

Following this reasoning constitutes circular logic. Allowing coal companies to continue to operate and emit huge quantities of CO2 is a scenario that does not comply with the purpose of the Clean Air Act.

In its technical focus on criticism of the way the Clean Power Plan requires owners of stationary electrical utility generating sources to come into compliance with emission standards, the EPA repeal proposal claims that these modifications can only be those that can be



accomplished at the location of the source itself, which is referred to as inside the fence and not by outsourcing to renewable sources.

This change to a different method of energy production is referred to as generation shifting, such as to wind or solar energy. It is by doing this outsourcing, which is referred to as outside the fence, that a majority of the CO2 reduction to be accomplished under the CPP, the existing law, was to be affected.

By eliminating these renewable non-polluting sources of energy, Pruitt effectively is engaging in a doomed effort to resuscitate the dying coal industry. The fact that it is dying is a fact that this Administration somehow refuses to recognize.

Even without implementation of the CPP, there has been a significant decrease in coal-fired utility energy and of the C02 emissions they would have produced.

And there has been increasing closure of coal producing plants.



Carbon pollution from the power sector has decreased by more than 20 percent since 2005, which equals roughly two-thirds of the way towards meeting the CPP requirements for 2030.

Therefore, having the CPP in

Therefore, having the CPP in place provides a policy framework and also a stable signal for investors.

Clearly, Pruitt has actually proposed to eliminate renewable energy sources as a way of mitigating emissions. Pruitt's plan proposes to remove them as an option. Renewable sources of energy and the growing economy of renewables, which do not create greenhouse gas emissions, such as wind and solar energy, have been disallowed in the proposals, whereas using these energy sources have created many jobs and economic growth while reducing carbon pollution.

Pruitt effectively ignores the purpose and rationale of the CPP and proposes to actually maintain or increase CO2 emissions by supporting the coal industry, contrary to the whole purpose of the CPP.



The culmination of three court cases and finding, the endangerment finding which mandates that the EPA act to control emissions, is something that must be recognized. It is not a choice. They must do it. And they are failing to do it by accepting this flawed proposal. Thank you very much.



MS. DEBBIE HEATON: Hello. My name is Debbie Heaton. I'm a member of the Sierra Club, and I see other Sierra Club

MS. GRAY: Debbie Heaton.

members here today. Glad you can make it.

I live in Middletown, Delaware. And this proposed repeal is going to directly impact me and the area where I live.

First, I would like to thank

DNREC for holding this listening session on
the proposed Clean Power Plan repeal. It
does feel good to vent. We've all enjoyed
that this morning. But I'm guessing the
recent legal case filed against the EPA may
have a little more impact.

I'm confused about Mr. Pruitt's claim that the CPP was an example of coercive federalism. Based on what I've learned, the CPP seems more like the cooperative federalism the Administration seems to admire. It sets goals, but it also sets up a partnership between the EPA and the state to reach those goals.

But I digressed.



Now, regarding the docket we've all come here to talk about today. We've all seen the impacts of climate change on Delaware's residents, natural resources and infrastructure and business. Strong actions are required to mitigate impacts greenhouse gases have had and will continue to have on Delaware's land and economy.

My hopes were that the Clean Power Plan, under the authority of the Clean Air Act, would help guide some of these actions by reducing carbon emissions created through the generation of power and shifting generation to clean energy renewables.

Delaware and our Regional
Greenhouse Gas Initiative neighbors have
successfully demonstrated their ability to
coordinate across state lines, work with
power providers and engage citizens to reduce
carbon emissions through a cap and reduce
plan.

In the past seven years of the program, power plant emissions were reduced by over 45 percent compared to 1990 levels.



These reductions happened as the GDP in the						
states continued to gradually grow.						
Member states have also created						
a framework to drive deeper electric sector						
reductions in the future.						
As many of you may or may not						
know, RGGI holds regional CO2 allowance						
auctions and the proceeds are strategically						
invested by each of the states in energy						
efficiency, renewable energy, greenhouse gas						
abatement and other consumer benefit programs						
within their state. These programs spur						
innovation in the clean energy economy,						
create green jobs and lower energy costs for						
families and business.						
I've seen how this program						
works firsthand as my husband and I had a						
home energy audit done in our home in 2017.						
The Energize Delaware Home						
Performance with Energy Star program takes a						
whole-house approach.						
A final report outlines the						
findings of the study and options to increase						



efficiency are outlined.

1	Lists of certified small						
2	businesses and contractors is provided that						
3	you can choose from. Rebates are offered to						
4	make the program more affordable.						
5	In 2015, the Home Performance						
6	with Energy Star program avoided 570						
7	megawatts of energy for over 900 Delaware						
8	homeowners.						
9	We had already taken action to						
10	reduce our energy bill through installation						
11	of LED lightbulbs and low flow faucet						
12	aerators, insulating our hot water pipes and						
13	updating our hot water heater in 2007. We						
14	thought we were pretty good.						
15	Through the audit, we found						
16	that we could save more energy and money by						
17	sealing and insulating the attic better.						
18	Standards have changed since construction in						
19	1993. And surprisingly by installing a new						
20	hot water heater. The technology has really						
21	changed since 2014.						
22	Homeowners who have not had an						
23	audit or been able to keep up with the						
24	changes in technology will benefit from						



1	learning how simple changes can save energy							
2	and money. If their budget is tight, the							
3	program does have rebates for eligible							
4	improvements.							
5	RGGI's work is complemented by							
6	individual state's Renewable Portfolio							
7	Standards and energy efficiency resource							
8	standards.							
9	The Sierra Club hopes that							
10	state legislators will pass legislation this							
11	year to increase Delaware's RPS to 50 percent							
12	by 2032.							
13	If EPA goes forward with							
14	rolling back the Clean Power Plan, it must be							
15	replaced with something that will result in							
16	even greater reductions in carbon and other							
17	forms of climate pollution that fuel climate							
18	change.							
19	It's EPA's obligation to							
20	protect our climate and reduce carbon							
21	emissions.							
22	Do not repeal the Clean Power							
23	Plan.							
24	Thank you.							
-	WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 www.wilfet.com							

1	MS. GRAY: Our next speaker is
2	Linwood Jackson.
3	MR. LINWOOD JACKSON: I'm
4	Linwood Jackson. President of the NAACP's
5	Delaware State Conference of Branches.
6	And first, I would like to
7	thank the Governor and our State Senators and
8	Representatives for doing what they can to
9	protect Delaware's climate.
10	And I would like to thank the
11	EPA for hosting another public hearing on the
12	proposed repeal.
13	I did speak at the Charleston,
14	West Virginia hearing in November. And I
15	also facilitated a press conference at the
16	National Press Club in Washington, D.C. with
17	the Clean Air Act Taskforce, NAACP
18	Environmental Climate Justice Program and the
19	National Medical Association.
20	We presented the Fumes Across
21	The Fence-Line Report, which highlights the
22	health impact of the oil and natural gas
23	
	industries' toxic emissions on African



As a child, my family used coal to heat our home. I remember the coal truck coming and dumping coal in our basement as the dust filled the air that we breathed. I remember the smoke-covered walls we lived in.

My grandfather valued that house. It was the home that he raised his five kids in. He and four of his kids all died of cancer at a young age.

Thankfully, my mother moved my brothers and I out of that house before she passed. Only to move into the Southbridge projects of Wilmington, Delaware to raise us. A City that is surrounded by concrete mills, steel factories, Cherry Island Landfill, the City's dump, all dumping pollutants into the well water.

And then there's the Port of Wilmington. It was founded in 1923, a business terminal on the Delaware River. It handles about 400 vessels annually spilling oil and fuel every time they dock.



1	Environmental pollution has
2	left seven communities in New Castle County,
3	Delaware whose residents are mostly poor and
4	predominately people of color at a much
5	greater risk of cancer and respiratory
6	illnesses than the affluent white towns in
7	the county. All face more substantial
8	cumulative health risks than the wealthy
9	suburbs.
.0	The union of concerned
.1	scientists in their report, Environmental
.2	Justice for Delaware, say that those seven
.3	communities are close to major polluting
L4	sources, contaminated hazardous waste sites
L5	and facilities that use large qualities of
16	dangerous chemicals, which pose a high risk
L7	of a major chemical release of catastrophic
18	incident.
L9	The authors created the report
20	by compiling data from the Census Bureau, the
21	National Center for Education Statistics, the
22	Delaware Sierra Club, the Environmental
23	Justice Health Alliance and the Environmental



Protection Agency.

From that data, the researches found that all of these areas face the highest risk of health issues. The chances of neighborhood residents being diagnosed with cancer or respiratory illness is higher than in Greenville and the affluent areas.

All these communities are also within one mile of the Delaware Memorial Bridge, which faces a large amount of traffic every year and runs right over the DuPont Chemical plant.

The authors of this study decided to compare seven communities to Greenville, one of the whitest and wealthiest communities in the area, to contentionalize how large the health disparities can be in the County.

The authors wanted to look at the communities in the County that are within a one-mile radius of the EPA designated Brownfields and Superfund sites. Polluting facilities listed in the EPA's Toxics Release Inventory and High-Risk Facilities, a part of the Agency's Risk Management Program.



1	The report says the seven areas
2	health's woes date back to segregated housing
3	in located communities, several of which are
4	predominately black in areas exposed to large
5	amounts of pollution.
6	During the 1940's and 1950's,
7	black Wilmington residents could only find
8	housing in these neighborhoods even years
9	after the Civil Rights Movement.
10	The buildings including a
11	public housing community were on top of a
12	field marsh where chronic flooding and mold
13	still remain issues there to this day.
14	The houses were built with
15	substandard and cheap materials and lead
16	paint was used on interior surfaces.
17	The report identified ten
18	chemicals in all seven communities that
19	contributed to increase health risk. Five
20	chemicals were associated with respiratory
21	hazards. And that, my friends, makes this a
22	Civil Rights issue.
23	And we, at the NAACP, demand
24	equal rights and justice for all people.



At the end of the report, the authors included seven government bases that they believe would improve the safety and health of residents living in Delaware.

New Castle County Executive

Matthew Meyer commended the environmental

groups for putting the report together and
said it would be very helpful for

policymakers. Meyer said the County is

aggressively increasing efforts to reduce
renewable energy. And that officials also
need to continue to look at zoning in order
to protect all residents from environmental
pollution.

A public outcry followed. A report that focused on several Zip Codes around the Indian River electricity plant near Millsboro. It was the start of a lung cancer cluster and blamed the pollution from the coal power plant.

There are a lot of issues associated with the coal industry: Coal pollution, the water, the air quality, black lung, asthma issues, brain issues, on and on



and on. Delaware residents have long gotten cancer and died from it at rates above the national average.

We should be demanding affordable healthcare for all people living in America, instead of denying the millions and millions of poor people the basic rights to life. I call this discrimination by cutting services. It's set up by design.

1.1

People are displaced from their jobs for all kinds of reasons. Downsizing companies move out so that we have to be able to adapt to different solutions. Solar panel jobs. They're outsourcing coal mining jobs, solar panels, windmills, rain, water barrels to collect rain, et cetera. We should be promoting job training in those areas. We could and should have an economy that works for everyone in this great land that we call America.

The single most impactful thing that we can do is to take the lead on environmental justice initiatives in order to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions and to



1	help compact global climate change.
2	The Supreme Court ruled that
3	the EPA is obligated to offer a replacement
4	plan for regulating emissions of carbon
5	dioxide.
6	So I ask, Why would the
7	Environmental Protection Agency be against
8	that and not protect the environment for all
9	of the people.
10	Thank you very much.
11	
12	
13	es e
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	n #
22	
23	
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 www.wilfet.com

2	MR. JOHN IRWIN: My name is							
3	John Irwin. I'm here speaking just as a							
4	concerned resident. I've been involved in a							
5	lot of environmental groups, but I'm not							
6	speaking for them today. I'm speaking for my							
7	son, and, hopefully, grandchildren some day							
8	and for all of us.							
9	I am here to testify because I							
10	am strenuously opposed to the repeal of the							
11	Clean Power Plan. I want to be another check							
12	on the side to reject this attempt to							
13	undercut our best effort to create a national							
14	plan to reduce emissions and to head us in							
15	the right direction.							
16	We are in the midst of a							
17	necessary transition to a clean energy							
18	economy. It's clear that continued burning							
19	fossil fuels is generating greenhouse gases							
20	that are gradually raising the average global							
21	temperature with increasingly dangerous							
22	effects. It's important that we take							
23	decisive action to accelerate the needed							
24	changes to new sources of electricity and							

MS. GRAY: John Irwin.



e	n	e	r	a	v	

We need to move away from the fossil fuels that are generating all of the air pollution. I was going to say more about that. But you've heard already about the asthma, all of the air pollutants, particulate matter, ozone.

And I think it's overwhelming the evidence that says that we need to make major national changes.

Delaware is very vulnerable to sea level rise. Naturally, with a long coastline and the lowest average elevation, our tourism, our jobs, our homes, infrastructure, railways, highways, property, all that is at risk.

We need to figure out how to take care of the workers who are going to be affected by this. Of course, we should be caring about all of our people, including the workers in these industries that are going to go away.

And we need to think about the fact that solar is a fast-growing industry:



1	And instead of fighting this transition, we
2	ought to be saying, Okay, this is a real
3	growth opportunity for the nation. The solar
4	industry is built one house at a time. It's
5	like this is local. These are local jobs.
6	People are on your roof installing in your
7	neighborhood and people can learn how to do
8	these jobs and can be a real job producer.
9	So we should be thinking about,
10	What does the future look like? Think about
11	retraining. Think about how we can provide
12	new jobs for people who are being displaced.
13	There's just no doubt that
14	there's lots of work to be done. There is
15	lots of money in the economy. And it is just
16	our unjust and inefficient way of organizing
17	work and money in the economy that makes us
18	have these seeming contradictions between
19	people needing jobs and people needing a good
20	environment.
21	So I want to thank you for the
22	opportunity to speak on this. Thank you,
23	everybody who came out to speak on behalf of



protecting the Clean Power Plan. Let's just

1	kill	this	thing	right	away		It's	ridic	ulous.	
2								10		
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10								3.2%	Ä	
11										
12										
13						S				
14										
15										
16										
17										
18								122		
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										

18.
TLCOX & FETZER LTD
gistered Professional Reporters

1	MS. GRAY: Jill Gaumer.
2	MS. JILL GAUMER: I'm Jill
3	Gaumer. I'm one of the people who was newly
4	motivated to become active as of November a
5	year ago.
6	So like an earlier speaker, I' \mathfrak{m}
7	here, as myself, to make my voice heard. And
8	I thank you very much, DNREC folks, for
9	making this listening opportunity available
10	to everybody.
11	I'm not going to say anything
12	you haven't already heard. I'm just going to
13	say it a lot faster.
14	Global warming is real and it
15	is endangering our low-lying state.
16	Pollution from fossil fuel
17	plants that move west into our state have a
18	negative effect on Delaware's health,
19	environment and other parts of us, including
20	asthma rates and other breath-related issues,
21	as you've heard from the nursing folks.
22	The CPP encourages new and
23	clean energy, renewable energy sources that
24	support innovative technology and good paying



1	jobs and helping move the U.S. as a global
2	leader in renewable energy.
3	The roll back of the CPP sends
4	us back to the old, outdated, inefficient
5	and, frankly, dangerous energy sources.
6	The CPP is the most efficient
7	tool we have to fight climate change and
8	protect the air we breathe.
9	Don't put Delaware's
10	environment and our health at risk. Keep the
11	Clean Power Plan strong and focused on
12	reducing power plant pollution.
13	I, like almost everyone in this
14	room, oppose all efforts by the Trump
15	Administration to weaken, rollback or
16	dismantle the Clean Power Plan.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	э - 5
22	
23	
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 www.wilfet.com

2	MS. ASHLEY KENNEDY: My name is
3	Ashley Kennedy. And I'm here today in the
4	capacity of a Delawarean who cares about the
5	environment, public health and the future of
6	our state and our planet.
7	I grew up as a nature lover,
8	and I've been fortunate to channel that love
9	of nature into the career as an entomologist.
.0	I now work as a researcher in entomology at
.1	the University of Delaware.
.2	As a Millennial, a member of
.3	that much maligned generation, I grew up with
.4	an understanding that we are facing some very
.5	serious global problems. But also, that we
. 6	have the ability to tackle those problems if
7	everyone is willing to do their part.
8.	Around the same age when I
.9	learned to count and to spell, I learned to
20	recycle. I learned to turn off lights and
21	appliances that I'm not using and I learned
22	to be careful not to waste water.
23	Fifteen-years-ago in high
24	school in an effort to reduce my carbon

MS. GRAY: Ashley Kennedy.



1	footprint, I gave up meat and seafood in my
2	diet. Three-years-ago, I additionally gave
3	up eggs and dairy for the same purpose.
4	I walk. I bike. I carpool.
5	When I drive, I drive a Hybrid. I have
6	chosen to adopt children and practice safe
7	sex so I don't add to the burgeoning global
8	population.
9	Whether big or small, I don't
10	consider any of these decisions or behaviors
11	sacrifices. They are at worse
12	inconveniences, but on a whole, I'm happy to
13	do them because I want to do my part.
14	And that's why it angers me
15	when decision makers and policy makers don't
16	do theirs.
17	The proposed decision to repeal
18	the Clean Power Plan is a slap in the face to
19	me and to millions of people like me. The
20	people who feel it, we are doing our part.
21	I call on the authorities at
22	EPA to do their part. I call on them to do
23	their jobs. That begins with not repealing
24	the Clean Power Plan.



1		Thank	you	very	much	for	this	
2	opportunity							
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13	7				0			
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21	98							
22								
23								
24								



1	MS. GRAY: Final speaker, David
2	Carter.
3	MR. DAVID CARTER: Good
4	afternoon. I'm Dr. David Carter. I thank
5	you for the opportunity to speak.
6	I had originally planned to
7	prepare a lot of technical records based on
8	30 years of data I have. But I opted to do
9	something a little different and tell you
10	what the real impacts are based on 30 years
11	of experience as a manager of Delaware's
12	coastal areas.
13	I stand here in strong
14	opposition to the repeal of the Clean Power
15	Plan. I think it would be a real social
16	unjustice to do that.
17	As a policy analyst, I know
18	there are just laws and there are unjust,
19	laws. And when I look at what happened to
20	some, I think about Rosa Parks, and I think
21	about her writings in a letter from
22	Birmingham. What if they had paid attention
23	to the technicalities of the law instead of
24	doing it? And I don't think we can say the
а	WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-0477 www.wilfet.com

1	technicalities say to do one thing and the
2	data says something else.
3	We need a competent we need
4	a democracy to do evidence-based policy
5	making.
6	And the science is clear. And
7	climate change is impacting us.
В	And in Delaware, there are four
9	key ways.
10	One is that we need to pay
11	attention to what's happening to our coastal
12	communities and our natural resources. I'll
13	talk a little bit more about those.
14	Public health. We've heard a
15	lot about, particularly in the low-income
16	communities, both on the legacy environmental
17	contaminants, as well as the serious air
18	problems, a combination of power plants and
19	traffic problems.
20	We also need to be promoting
21	movement out of our path dependency on our
22	current type of energy and into a new form of
23	energy based on the new economy and what's
24	going to be for the future.



1	And we have a path dependency
2	that can take sometimes a long time to do and
3	we don't have that much time. And I've
4	already mentioned social and environmental
5	justice.
6	So what do we need to see in
7	Delaware?
8	Over my 30 years, firsthand as
9	I look down the coast, starting right out
0	here across Peterson Refuge. 30-years-ago,
1	we looked at all wetlands up here,
2	particularly the one behind tide gates.
3	Shellpot Creek, which drains
4	below sea level with tide gates by the
5	land build as you heard discussed that
6	tide gate will not function much longer as
7	the sea level rises a bit more. We will not
8	get draining. We're going to get more
9	saturation into the soils. And we're going
0	to have to get concerned about the migration
1	of contaminants out of those areas.
2	As I move a little further
3	south, 30-years-ago we put the planning
4	effort in New County for Battery Park,



1	Gambacorta Marsh, the reintervention of tidal
2	flow with a limited tidal structure.
3	I did that as a DNREC employee.
4	We did all of the engineering. We did not
5	look at the impacts of monitoring sea level
6	rise. So we were managing that at about
7	minus .02 feet below sea level rise, which
В	means that we are getting very close to the
9	point of which all of that investment, those
0	-millions we spent, will no longer work.
11	A few years ago before I
L2	retired from DNREC, I went to the town. We
13	gave them a grant to talk about the problem
L4	with their dikes, sea level rise and
L5	planning.
16	I was actually blasted by a
L7	County Councilman in The New Castle Weekly
18	about being chicken little DNREC staff. Lo
19	and behold, a year later, Sandy hit, all of
20	the dikes went out. The engineering work we
21	had been doing was good.
22	We go down the coastline to
23	Delaware City, and you'll see that we have
24	permanent pumps to pump water out of the



drain. If you go sit and have a Hamberger on Crabby Dick's deck, you will see the bright orange pumps sitting there permanently.

And we've heard the discussion of wetlands from Collin O'Mara, who pointed them out. They're very good. I documented thousands and thousands of the acres being lost for him.

We also heard Senator Carper talk about what happened down at Prime Hook.

What he did not mention is that we spent over \$40 million dollars to protect about 130 homes. That's \$300,000 a home. \$300,000 a home.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When I look at what Pastor

McDuffy has seen, and needing, perhaps, to do

some restoration of some of the housing and

maybe some relocation in these environments,

you know all I can say is, I guess if you

lived in Prime Hook, be sure you weren't

black and poor. Because that's who's getting

the first response. That's why this is so

unjust. That's why this is so wrong.

WILCOX & FETZER LTD
Registered Professional Reporters
(302) 655-0477

I have a litany of these from

30 years of experience, further documented the changes, the areas, we lost wetlands, the gates that are losing, the functionality now. The cost is going to be astronomical with what's already going to happen. And if we don't do it very soon, it's going to get much, much worse and much, much more expensive. It will hurt most harshly the 10 communities like Southbridge that I worked 11 with for seven years who are going to see the worst impacts of it and other low-income 12 communities. We will also see the impact of 13 the asthma and other problems if we don't 14 15 turn it around. So again, I strongly urge the 16 17 EPA to reverse course, to be ethical, to be 18 just and to do evidence-based policy. 19 Anybody competently doing 20 evidence-based policy and policy analysis will not support unjust activities because of 21 technicalities of the law when the evidence 22 strongly points elsewhere. And that's where 23 24 we are today.



1	Thank you.			
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
В				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18		24	Si.	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 655-047 www.wilfet.com

1	MS. GRAY: That is our last
2	registered speaker.
3	Is there anyone else here today
4	who would like to present comments?
5	Secretary Garvin.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	SECRETARY GARVIN: I just want
2	to thank everybody for coming out and
3	everybody for commenting.
4	Those who didn't comment, but
5	were hear to listen, I encourage you if you
6	have comments that you didn't make, as I
7	said, we have back at the table information
8	on how you can make comments directly to the
9	EPA.
10	All those who spoke, we will be
11	sending the transcripts for it. And, again,
12	thank you all for coming out and spending
13	your morning and part of your afternoon with
14	us.
15	Thank you.
16	(Public Meeting was concluded
17	at, approximately, 1:10 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

WILCOX & FETZER LTD Registered Professional Reporters (302) 635-0477 www.wilfet.com

1	State of Delaware:
2	New Castle County:
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	I, Gloria M. D'Amore, Registered
7	Professional Reporter and Notary Public, do
8	hereby certify that the foregoing record,
9	Pages 1 to 193 inclusive, is a true and
10	accurate transcript of my stenographic notes
11	taken on Monday, January 8, 2018, in the
12	above-captioned matter.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
14	my hand and seal this 15th day of January,
15	2018, at Wilmington, Delaware.
16	
17	0.000
18	frais Wilmal
19	<u> </u>
20	GLORIA M. D'AMORE, RPR
21	
22	
23	
24	

