Proposed banning of internal combustion vehicles in Delaware for 2035

Eric Jester <thomist20032003@yahoo.com>

Sat 12/17/2022 10:32 AM

To: Krall, Kyle (DNREC) <Kyle.Krall@delaware.gov>

ICXCNIKA

SIR,

THE PROPOSED BAN IS PATENTLY ABSURD. Hydrocarbon and nitrous oxide emissions can be mitigated successfully without Draconian initiatives. Hydrogen vehicles would be the most promising alternative if the potential for incendiary accidents were vastly reduced or eliminated. LPG as a motor fuel is also encouraging and existing gasoline and Diesel engines can be adapted. Nevertheless, such alternatives should never be mandated. The biosphere is far more forgiving than commonly recognized. The immediate exposure to particulate emissions may still be a problem and contribute to respiratory ailments in urban areas where vehicular traffic could be heavy, but there is no absolute right to safety despite that we have a responsibility to prevent avoidable injuries to the common good.

Some air pollution is naturally occurring and inescapable. We should not add to it without necessity and
great inconvenience.

To consider adopting the California protocol would be to swallow the elephant while safeguarding the ant.
It would be immoral, unreasonable and fiscally unsustainable. It is a liberal distortion that you infringes and
resists liberty in the name of a command ideology that might makes right, a fallacy productive of license,chaos and tyranny which is inimicable to authentic American principles.

Respectfully,
Eric Jester,A.A.D,M.A.
S. Thomas Aquinas Association for Catholic Studies
(302) 998-0223
(302) 998-0312/ fax
E-mail: Thomist20032003@yahoo.com