

2026 Delaware Wetlands Conference Oral Presentation Judging Rubric

Judge's Name: _____

Presenter Name: _____

Score Key

0 = Not Included

1 = Needs Improvement

2 = Average

3 = Excellent

Appearance

Slides are easy to read at a distance	0	1	2	3
Slides are visually appealing (fonts, colors, spacing, etc.)	0	1	2	3
Slides are presented in a logical sequence	0	1	2	3
Graphics are clear, easy to read, and enhance content	0	1	2	3
No errors or misspellings are present	0	1	2	3

Content

Introduction summarizes the project concisely	0	1	2	3
Objectives and/or hypotheses are stated clearly	0	1	2	3
Methods are easy to follow and explain how results were derived	0	1	2	3
Provides conclusions and next steps to take based on results	0	1	2	3
Ties the objectives and/or hypotheses to the conclusions	0	1	2	3

Execution

Intended goals and objectives were met	0	1	2	3
Tables and graphs communicate major results and implications	0	1	2	3
Allotted presentation time was used effectively and completely	0	1	2	3
Research contributes to existing wetland knowledge	0	1	2	3
Student provides engaged, concise answers to questions	0	1	2	3

Presenter

Student maintained direct eye contact with the audience	0	1	2	3
Student had minimal reliance on notes	0	1	2	3
Student used proper volume, inflection, and spoke clearly	0	1	2	3
Student exudes confidence and enthusiasm	0	1	2	3
Student maintained your interest	0	1	2	3

Overall Score

Total: _____/60