

2026 Delaware Wetlands Conference Poster Judging Rubric

Judge's Name: _____

Poster Author: _____

Poster #: _____

Score Key

0 = Not Included

1 = Needs Improvement

2 = Average

3 = Excellent

Appearance

Poster is easy to read from a distance (3-5 feet)	0	1	2	3
Poster is visually appealing (fonts, colors, sizing, etc.)	0	1	2	3
Poster is presented in a logical sequence	0	1	2	3
Graphics are clear, easy to read, and enhance content	0	1	2	3
No errors or misspellings are present	0	1	2	3

Content

Abstract or introduction summarizes the project concisely	0	1	2	3
Objectives and/or hypotheses are stated clearly	0	1	2	3
Methods are easy to follow and explain how results were derived	0	1	2	3
Provides conclusions and next steps to take based on results	0	1	2	3
Ties the objectives and/or hypotheses to the conclusions	0	1	2	3

Execution

Intended goals and objectives were met	0	1	2	3
Tables and graphs communicate major results and implications	0	1	2	3
Amount of effort put forth in the poster is obvious	0	1	2	3
Research contributes to existing wetland knowledge	0	1	2	3
Student provides engaged, concise answers to questions	0	1	2	3

Presenter

Student maintained direct eye contact during presentation of poster	0	1	2	3
Student demonstrated a good understanding of the project	0	1	2	3
Student could summarize project succinctly	0	1	2	3
Student exudes confidence and enthusiasm	0	1	2	3
Student maintained your interest	0	1	2	3

Overall Score

Total: ____/60