

2024 Delaware Wetlands Conference Poster Judging Rubric

Judge's Name: _____

Poster Author: _____

Poster #: _____

Score Key		
0	Needs Improvement	
1	Average	
2	Excellent	

1. Appearance:

A) Poster is readable	0	1	2
B) Fonts, colors, and sizes, appropriate	0	1	2
C) Presented in a logical sequence	0	1	2
D) Graphs and pictures were clear, easy to read, and well-presented	0	1	2
E) No errors or misspellings	0	1	2
Total:	_____		

2. Content:

A) Abstract or introduction highlights the project concisely	0	1	2
B) The methods used are clear	0	1	2
C) Contains a hypothesis with results	0	1	2
D) Provides conclusions and next steps to take	0	1	2
E) Ties the hypothesis to the conclusions	0	1	2
Total:	_____		

3. Execution:

A) The intended goals and objectives were met	0	1	2
B) Presented information is accurate	0	1	2
C) The methods were appropriate for the study	0	1	2
D) Statistical or mathematical procedures performed correctly (if applicable)	0	1	2
E) Tables and graphs used were informative	0	1	2
Total:	_____		

4. Effort/Significance

A) Research is original and innovative	0	1	2
B) Subject has importance, significance, or interest in conserving wetlands	0	1	2
C) Amount of effort put forth in the poster is obvious	0	1	2
D) Project consisted of significant work from student	0	1	2
E) Research was primarily performed by student	0	1	2
Total:	_____		

5. Presenter:

A) Student demonstrated a good understanding of the project	0	1	2
B) Student could summarize project succinctly	0	1	2
C) Student exudes confidence and enthusiasm	0	1	2
D) Student maintained your interest	0	1	2
E) Student provides engaged, concise answers to questions	0	1	2
Total:	_____		

Total Points: ___ /50